arXiv:math/0209017v1 [math.QA] 2 Sep 2002

CRITICAL POINTS OF MASTER FUNCTIONS AND FLAG VARIETIES

E. MUKHIN AND A. VARCHENKO

August 1, 2002

Abstract. We consider critical points of master functions associated with integral dominant weights of Kac-Moody algebras and introduce a generating procedure constructing new critical points starting from a given one. The set of all critical points constructed from a given one is called a population. We formulate a conjecture that a population is isomorphic to the flag variety of the Langlands dual Kac-Moody algebra and prove the conjectures for algebras sl_{N+1}, so_{2N+1}, and sp_{2N}.

We show that populations associated with a collection of integral dominant sl_{N+1}-weights are in one to one correspondence with intersection points of suitable Schubert cycles in a Grassmannian variety.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ a the Cartan subalgebra of sl_{r+1}; $(\ , \ )$ the Killing form on $\mathfrak{h}^*$; $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ simple roots; $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ dominant integral weights; $l_1, \dots, l_r$ non-negative integers; $z_1, \dots, z_n$ distinct complex numbers. The master function Φ associated with this data is the rational function of $l_1 + \dots + l_r$ variables $t_1^{(1)}, \dots, t_{l_1}^{(1)}, \dots, t_{l_r}^{(r)}$, given by formula (2.1). We may think that $l_1 + \dots + l_r + n$ particles are given in $\mathbb{C}$. The particle $t_j^{(i)}$ has weight $-\alpha_i$ and the particle z_s has weight Λ_s. The particles interact pairwise. The interaction of particles x and y with weights v and w, respectively, is given by $(x-y)^{(v,w)}$. Then total interaction is the product of such terms over all pairs. The master function describes the interaction of t-particles with t-particles and z-particles. We ignore the interaction of z-particles with z-particles since the positions of z particles will be fixed in our considerations.

The master function appears in hypergeometric solutions to the KZ equations with values in the tensor product of irreducible highest weight representations $L_{\Lambda_1}, \dots, L_{\Lambda_n}$ with highest weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, respectively. The solutions have the form

$$u(z) \ = \int \ \Phi(\boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{z})^{\frac{1}{\kappa}} \ A(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}) \ d\boldsymbol{t} \ ,$$

where κ is the parameter of the KZ equations and $A(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z})$ is some explicitly written rational function with values in the tensor product [SV].

Research of E.M. is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0140460. Research of A.V. is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-9801582.

The master function also appears in the Bethe ansatz of the Gaudin model with values in the same tensor product [RV]. In that case the value of the function $A(\,\cdot\,;\boldsymbol{z})$ at a point $\boldsymbol{t}$ is an eigenvector of the commuting Gaudin Hamiltonians if $\boldsymbol{t}$ is a critical point of the master function.

In this article we study critical points of the master function on the set where all $\{t_j^{(i)}, z_s\}$ are distinct. In other words we study those positions of distinct particles $\{t_j^{(i)}\}$ in the complement to $\{z_s\}$ which extremize the master function.

The master function is symmetric with respect to permutations of variables $\{t_j^{(i)}\}$ with the same upper index. It is convenient to use symmetric coordinates. To every point $\{t_j^{(i)}\}$ we assign a tuple of polynomials $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$ of one variable x with $y_i(x) = \prod_j (x - t_j^{(i)})$. The master function can be considered as a function on the space $\mathbb{C}^0_{l_1}[x] \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^0_{l_r}[x]$, where $\mathbb{C}^0_d[x]$ is the affine space of monic polynomials of degree d. We consider the critical set of the master function on this product.

The Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$ acts on $\mathfrak{h}^*$. For $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ denote $w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$ the action of the Weyl group shifted by ρ, the half sum of positive roots. Set $\Lambda_\infty = \sum_{s=1}^n \Lambda_s - \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \alpha_i$.

Let $B \subset SL(r+1, \mathbb{C})$ be a Borel subgroup. The flag variety $SL(r+1,\mathbb{C})/B$ has the Bruhat cell decomposition $SL(r+1,\mathbb{C})/B = \sqcup_{w \in \mathcal{W}} G_w$ with $G_w = BwB/B$.

Theorem 1.1.

- *If Λ_∞ belongs to one of the reflection hyperplanes of the shifted action of the Weyl group, then the master function does not have critical points such that $\{t_j^{(i)}, z_s\}$ are distinct.*
- *Let $w_0 \in \mathcal{W}$ be such that $\tilde{\Lambda}_\infty = w_0 \cdot \Lambda_\infty$ is dominant. If $\tilde{\Lambda}_\infty - \sum_{s=1}^n \Lambda_s$ is not a linear combination of simple roots with non-negative coefficients, then the master function does not have critical points such that $\{t_j^{(i)}, z_s\}$ are distinct.*
- *Let $\tilde{\Lambda}_\infty = w_0 \cdot \Lambda_\infty$ be dominant. Assume that $\tilde{\Lambda}_\infty - \sum_{s=1}^n \Lambda_s$ is a linear combination of simple roots with non-negative coefficients. Let a tuple $\boldsymbol{y}^0 \in \mathbb{C}^0_{l_1}[x] \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^0_{l_r}[x]$ represent a critical point of the master function such that $\{t_j^{(i)}, z_s\}$ are distinct. Then the closure in $\mathbb{C}^0_{l_1}[x] \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^0_{l_r}[x]$ of the connected component of the critical set containing $\boldsymbol{y}^0$ is isomorphic to the Bruhat cell G_{w_0}.*
- *The number of connected components of the critical set is not greater, than the multiplicity of the representation $L_{\tilde{\Lambda}_\infty}$ in the tensor product $L_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\Lambda_n}$.*

Conjecturally for generic $z_1, \dots, z_n$ and arbitrary integral dominant weights $L_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\Lambda_n}$ the number of connected components is equal to the multiplicity indicated in part 4 of the theorem. For sl_2 the theorem and the conjecture are proved in [ScV].

For sl_2 the theorem takes the following form. Irreducible representations are labeled by non-negative integers. Denote L_a the irreducible representation with highest weight

$a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\dim L_a = a + 1$. The master function takes the form

$$\Phi(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{z}) \;=\; \prod_{j=1}^{l}\prod_{s=1}^{n}(t_j - z_s)^{-m_s} \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq l}(t_i - t_j)^2$$

for given positive integers $m_1, \dots, m_n$. Set $|m| = m_1 + \dots + m_n$. To every $\boldsymbol{t}$ assign a polynomial $y(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{l}(x - t_j)$ and consider the master function as a function on $\mathbb{C}_l^0[x]$.

Theorem 1.2. [ScV]

- *If $|m|+1-l<0$ or $|m|+1-l=l$, then the master function does not have critical points on the set where $\{t_j, z_s\}$ are distinct.*
- *If $l<|m|+1-l$, then for generic $z_1, \dots, z_n$ the number of polynomials $\boldsymbol{y}$, such that $\boldsymbol{y}$ represents a critical point and $\{t_j, z_s\}$ are distinct, is equal to the multiplicity of the representation $L_{|m|-2l}$ in the tensor product $L_{m_1}\otimes\dots\otimes L_{m_n}$. The critical points are non-degenerate.*
- *Assume that $0<|m|+1-l<l$, and $z_1, \dots, z_n$ are generic. Let a tuple $\boldsymbol{y}^0 \in \mathbb{C}_l^0[x]$ represent a critical point of the master function such that $\{t_j, z_s\}$ are distinct. Then the closure in $\mathbb{C}_l^0[x]$ of the connected component of the critical set containing $\boldsymbol{y}^0$ is a straight line. The total number of the straight lines is equal to the multiplicity of the representation $L_{2l-2-|m|}$ in the tensor product $L_{m_1}\otimes\dots\otimes L_{m_n}$.*

In Section 2 we consider master functions associated with a Kac-Moody algebra. In Section 3 we describe a reproduction procedure which constructs new critical points if a critical point is given. This is the first main ingredient of this paper.

We introduce the notion of the population of critical points originated at a given critical point as the set of all critical points which are constructed from a given one by the reproduction procedure. Every population consists of critical points of several master functions. We develop basic properties of populations and formulate a conjecture that every population is isomorphic to the flag variety of the Kac-Moody algebra Langlands dual to the initial Kac-Moody algebra. We conjecture that the master functions whose critical points enter a given population are labeled by elements of the Weyl group, and the part of the population, consisting of critical points of the master function labeled by an element $w \in \mathcal{W}$, is isomorphic to the Bruhat cell G_w of the flag variety. We conjecture that the number of populations originated at critical points of a given master function for generic $z_1, \dots z_n$ is given in terms of the representation theory as the multiplicity of a suitable irreducible representation in a suitable tensor product of irreducible representations, cf. Theorem 1.1.

In Section 4 we remind some statements from Schubert calculus.

In Section 5 we collect the results on sl_{r+1}-populations, in particular Theorem 1.1 is proved. In Section 5 we assign to every critical point $\boldsymbol{y}$ an $r+1$-dimensional space V of polynomials in one variable. This is the second main object of the paper.

We describe the ramification properties of V (in the sense of Schubert calculus) in terms of complex numbers $z_1, \dots, z_n$, weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, and the integers $l_1, \dots, l_n$, associated to the initial master function. We prove that the population originated at $\boldsymbol{y}$ is naturally isomorphic to the full flag variety $FL(V)$ of the space V. Knowing ramification properties of the spaces V, assigned to critical points of the initial master function, and applying Schubert calculus we give an estimate from above on the number of populations originated at critical points of the initial master function.

We show that every $r+1$-dimensional vector space of polynomials of one variable corresponds to an sl_{r+1}-population of critical points.

In Section 6 we develop the notion a selfdual vector space of polynomials of one variable. We show that a selfdual vector space has a canonical bilinear form $(\, , \,)$ which is symmetric if the dimension of the space is odd and is skew-symmetric if the dimension is even. We discuss properties of the variety $FL^{\perp}(V) \subset FL(V)$ of full flags isotropic with respect to the canonical bilinear form.

In Section 7 we study so_{2N+1} and sp_{2N} populations. We assign to every critical point $\boldsymbol{y}$ of a master function associated with so_{2N+1} a selfdual $2N$-dimensional space of polynomials V in one variable. We describe the ramification properties of V (in the sense of Schubert calculus) in terms of numbers $\{z_s\}$, weights $\{\Lambda_s\}$ and the vector $\{l_s\}$ associated to the initial master function. We prove that the population originated at $\boldsymbol{y}$ is naturally isomorphic to the variety $FL^{\perp}(V)$ of isotropic flags of the space V.

We assign to every critical point $\boldsymbol{y}$ of a master function associated with sp_{2N} a selfdual $2N+1$-dimensional space of polynomials V in one variable. We describe the ramification properties of V (in the sense of Schubert calculus) in terms of numbers $\{z_s\}$, weights $\{\Lambda_s\}$ and the vector $\{l_s\}$ associated to the initial master function. We prove that the population originated at $\boldsymbol{y}$ is naturally isomorphic to the variety $FL^{\perp}(V)$ of isotropic flags of the space V.

The notion of a selfdual space of polynomials of one variable and the construction of the selfdual space of polynomials, associated to a critical point of the so_{2N+1} or sp_{2N} type, is the third main object of the paper.

According to our conjecture there has to exist a Schubert calculus of selfdual $2N$-dimensional spaces of polynomials where the intersection numbers of Schubert cycles would be given in terms of representation theory of so_{2N+1}. Analogously there has to exist a Schubert calculus of selfdual $2N+1$-dimensional spaces of polynomials where the intersection numbers of Schubert cycles would be given in terms of representation theory of sp_{2N}.

In Section 7 we formulate Theorems 7.5 and 7.10 that every $2N$-dimensional selfdual space corresponds to an so_{2N+1}-population and every $2N+1$-dimensional selfdual space corresponds to an sp_{2N}-population.

In Section 8 we sketch the theory of populations of germs of holomorphic functions of one variable and then prove Theorems 7.5 and 7.10.

In the Appendix useful facts about Wronskians are collected.

The authors especially thank A. Oblomkov. In discussions with him we realized connections of the Schubert calculus with the problem of counting vector spaces of polynomials of one variable with prescribed ramification properties. A. Oblomkov helped us to write the review Section 4.

We thank E. Frenkel. After we informed him about the results of the present work,E. Frenkel indicated to us connections of our work with his research on Bethe ansatz and Miura transformations [FFR, Fr]. We plan to develop those connections in a future joint work with E. Frenkel.

We thank A. Gabrielov, A. Eremenko and D. Novikov for useful discussions.

2. Master functions and critical points

2.1. Kac-Moody algebras. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^r$ be a generalized Cartan matrix, $a_{ii} = 2$, $a_{ij} = 0$ if and only $a_{ji} = 0$, $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ if $i \neq j$. We assume that A is symmetrizable, there is a diagonal matrix $D = \operatorname{diag}\{d_1, \dots, d_r\}$ with positive integers d_i such that $B = DA$ is symmetric.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ be the corresponding complex Kac-Moody Lie algebra (see [K], §1.2), $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ the Cartan subalgebra. The associated scalar product is non-degenerate on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ and $\dim \mathfrak{h} = r + 2d$, where d is the dimension of the kernel of the Cartan matrix A.

Let $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $\alpha_i^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, be the sets of simple roots, coroots, respectively. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) &= d_i \, a_{ij}, \\ \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle &= 2(\lambda, \alpha_i)/(\alpha_i, \alpha_i), \qquad \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. A weight $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is dominant integral if $\langle \Lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle$ are non-negative integers for all i.

Fix $\rho \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\langle \rho, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. We have $(\rho, \alpha_i) = (\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2$.

The Weyl group $\mathcal{W} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ is generated by reflections s_i, $i = 1, \dots, r$,

$$s_i(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle \lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \alpha_i, \qquad \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

We use the notation

$$w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho, \qquad w \in \mathcal{W}, \ \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*,$$

for the shifted action of the Weyl group.

The Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}^t = g(A^t)$ corresponding to the transposed Cartan matrix A^t is called Langlands dual to $\mathfrak{g}$.

2.2. The definition of master functions and critical points. Let $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = (\Lambda_i)_{i=1}^n$, $\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{P}$; $\boldsymbol{z} = (z_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\boldsymbol{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$, $\boldsymbol{t} = (t_j^{(i)}, j = 1, \dots, l_i)_{i=1}^r$. We call Λ_i the *weight* at a point z_i; $t_j^{(i)}$ a *variable of color* i. Define

$$\Lambda_\infty \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_i \;-\; \sum_{i=1}^{r} l_i \alpha_i \;\in\; \mathcal{P}$$

and $\bar{\mathbf{\Lambda}} = (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_\infty)$.

The *master function* $\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}(A)}(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}; \bar{\mathbf{\Lambda}})$ is defined by

$$\Phi_{\mathfrak{g}(A)}(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}; \bar{\mathbf{\Lambda}}) = \tag{2.1}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j=1}^{l_i} \prod_{s=1}^{n} (t_j^{(i)} - z_s)^{-(\Lambda_s, \alpha_i)} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{1 \le j < s \le l_i} (t_j^{(i)} - t_s^{(i)})^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le r} \prod_{s=1}^{l_i} \prod_{k=1}^{l_j} (t_s^{(i)} - t_k^{(j)})^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} \,,$$

see [SV] . The function Φ is a rational function of variables $\boldsymbol{t}$ depending on parameters $\boldsymbol{z}$, $\mathbf{\Lambda}$. It is symmetric with respect to permutations of variables of the same color.

A point $\boldsymbol{t}$ with complex coordinates is called a *critical point* of the master function Φ if the following system of algebraic equations is satisfied

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{-(\Lambda_s, \alpha_i)}{t_j^{(i)} - z_s} \;+\; \sum_{s,\ s \ne i} \sum_{k=1}^{l_s} \frac{(\alpha_s, \alpha_i)}{t_j^{(i)} - t_k^{(s)}} \;+\; \sum_{s,\ s \ne j} \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{t_j^{(i)} - t_s^{(i)}} \;=\; 0 \tag{2.2}$$

where $i = 1, \dots, r$, $j = 1, \dots, l_i$. In other words, a point $\boldsymbol{t}$ is a critical point if

$$\left(\Phi^{-1} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t_j^{(i)}} \right)(\boldsymbol{t}) = 0, \qquad i = 1, \dots, r,\ j = 1, \dots l_i.$$

The product of symmetric groups $S_{\boldsymbol{l}} = S_{l_1} \times \cdots \times S_{l_r}$ acts on the critical set of the master function permuting the coordinates of the same color. All orbits have the same cardinality $l_1! \cdots l_r!$.

In the Bethe Ansatz method applied to the Gaudin models [G] the system of equations (2.2) is called the Bethe Ansatz equations. On relations of critical points to the Bethe Ansatz method of the Gaudin models see [RV]. See also [Fa, Sk1, Sk2, FFR, Fr, MV].

2.3. **The case of isolated critical points.** In this section we give a sufficient condition for the set of critical points to be finite and state a conjecture about its cardinality.

We say that the set of weights $\bar{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$ is *separating* if

$$(2\Lambda_\infty \;+\; 2\rho \;+\; \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_i \alpha_i,\; \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_i \alpha_i) \;\ne 0,$$

for all sets of integers $(c_i)_{i=1}^{r}$ such that $0 \le c_i \le l_i$, $\sum_i c_i \ne 0$.

For example, if the scalar product is non-negative on the root lattice and Λ_∞ is dominant integral, then $\bar{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$ is separating.

The following lemma is a generalization of Theorem 6 in [ScV].

Lemma 2.1. *If* $\bar{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$ *is separating, then the set of critical points is finite.*

Proof. (Cf. proof of Theorem 6 in [ScV].) If the algebraic set of critical points is infinite, then it is unbounded. Suppose we have a sequence of critical points which is unbounded. Without loss of generality, we assume that $t_j^{(i)}$ tends to infinity for $i = 1, \dots, r,\ j = 1, \dots, c_i$, and remains bounded for all other values of i, j.

Take the equation (2.2) corresponding to a variable $t_j^{(i)}$ and multiply it by $t_j^{(i)}$. Then add the resulting equations corresponding to $i = 1, \dots, r,\ j = 1, \dots, c_i$, and pass to the limit along our sequence of critical points. Then the resulting equation is

$$(2\Lambda_\infty \ +\ 2\rho\ +\ \sum_{i=1}^r c_i\alpha_i,\ \sum_{i=1}^r c_i\alpha_i)\ =\ 0\ .$$

This equation contradicts to our assumption. □

Conjecture 2.2. *If all components of* $\bar{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}}$ *are dominant integral weights, then for generic* $z_1, \dots, z_n$ *the number of* $S_{\boldsymbol{l}}$*-orbits of critical points of the master function* $\Phi(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}; \bar{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}})$ *is equal to the multiplicity of the irreducible* $\mathfrak{g}(A)$*-module with highest weight* Λ_∞ *in the tensor product of irreducible* $\mathfrak{g}(A)$*-modules with highest weights* $\Lambda_i,\ \ i = 1, \dots, n$*. Moreover, all critical points are non-degenerate.*

Conjecture 2.2 is proved in [ScV] for $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$. In this paper we prove for $\mathfrak{g} = sl_n$ that the number of $S_{\boldsymbol{l}}$-orbits of critical points is not greater than the above multiplicity, thus relating the number of critical orbits and multiplicities of irreducible representations in tensor products.

Conjecture 2.2 is related to the conjecture on completeness of the Bethe Ansatz for Gaudin models, see [RV]. In a Gaudin model to every orbit of critical points one assigns an eigenvector in the space of states of a family of commuting linear operators called Hamiltonians. The Bethe Ansatz conjecture predicts that the constructed eigenvectors span a basis in the space of states. The dimension of the space of states is equal to the above multiplicity. Therefore, if the Bethe Ansatz conjecture were true, then the number of orbits of critical points would be not less than the above multiplicity. The Bethe Ansatz conjecture is proved in [RV] for $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$.

2.4. **On limits of critical points.**

In this section we formulate auxiliary results which we use later.

It is useful to consider functions more general than master functions,

$$\Phi(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\mu}; \boldsymbol{\nu})\ =\ \prod_{s=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^l (t_i - z_s)^{\mu_{i,s}} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le l} (t_i - t_j)^{\nu_{i,j}}\ .$$

For $j > i$, set $\nu_{j,i} = \nu_{i,j}$. Say that $\boldsymbol{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_l)$ is a critical point of Φ, if

$$\sum_{s=1}^n \frac{\mu_{i,s}}{t_i - z_s}\ +\ \sum_{j,\ j \ne i} \frac{\nu_{i,j}}{t_i - t_j}\ =\ 0$$

for $i = 1, \dots, l$.

For any subset $I \subset \{1,\dots,l\}$, denote $\bar I = \{1,\dots,l\} - I$ its complement. Say that the pair $\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is *separating*, if

$$\sum_{i\in I}\sum_{j\in \bar I}\nu_{i,j} \;+\; \sum_{i,j\in I,\ i<j}\nu_{i,j} \;+\; \sum_{i\in I}\sum_{s=1}^{n}\mu_{i,s} \;\neq\; 0$$

for any non-empty subset $I \subset \{1,\dots,l\}$.

Lemma 2.3. *If the pair $\boldsymbol{\mu},\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is separating, then the set of critical points of Φ is finite.* $\square$

Assume that $\boldsymbol{t}_k = (t_{1,k},\dots,t_{l,k})$, $k = 1,2,\dots$, is a sequence of critical points of Φ. Assume that for every i, the sequence $\{t_{i,k}\}$ has a limit, finite or infinite, as k tends to infinity. We show that, if we ignore the coordinates whose limit belong to $\{z_1,\dots,z_n,\infty\}$, then the limits of the remaining coordinates form a critical point of a suitable function $\tilde\Phi$ defined below.

For $w \in \mathbb{C}\cup\infty$, denote $I(w)$ the subset of $\{1,\dots,l\}$ consisting of all i such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} t_{i,k} = w$. Let $t_{1,\infty},\dots t_{\tilde l,\infty}$ be all pairwise distinct limiting points lying in $\mathbb{C} - \{z_1,\dots,z_n\}$.

Define numbers $\tilde\mu_{\tilde\imath,s}$, $\tilde\imath = 1,\dots \tilde l$, $s = 1,\dots,n$, by

$$\tilde\mu_{\tilde\imath,s} \;=\; \sum_{i\in I(w_{\tilde\imath,\infty})}\mu_{i,s} \;+\; \sum_{i\in I(w_{\tilde\imath,\infty})}\sum_{j\in I(z_s)}\nu_{i,j}\ .$$

Define numbers $\tilde\nu_{\tilde\imath,\tilde\jmath}$, $\tilde\imath,\tilde\jmath \in \{1,\dots,\tilde l\}, \tilde\imath\neq\tilde\jmath$, by

$$\tilde\nu_{\tilde\imath,\tilde\jmath} \;=\; \sum_{i\in I(w_{\tilde\imath,\infty})}\sum_{j\in I(w_{\tilde\jmath,\infty})}\nu_{i,j}\ .$$

Define a function

$$\tilde\Phi(\tilde{\boldsymbol{t}};\boldsymbol{z};\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}};\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}) \;=\; \prod_{s=1}^{n}\prod_{\tilde\imath=1}^{\tilde l}(\tilde t_{\tilde\imath}-z_s)^{\tilde\mu_{\tilde\imath,s}} \prod_{1\le\tilde\imath<\tilde\jmath\le\tilde l}(\tilde t_{\tilde\imath}-\tilde t_{\tilde\jmath})^{\tilde\nu_{\tilde\imath,\tilde\jmath}}\ .$$

Lemma 2.4. *The point $(t_{1,\infty},\dots,t_{\tilde l,\infty})$ is a critical point of the function $\tilde\Phi$.* $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that the pair $\boldsymbol{\mu}^0 = \{\mu_{i,s},\ i\in\{1,\dots,l\}-I(\infty), s=1,\dots,n\}$ and $\boldsymbol{\nu}^0 = \{\nu_{i,j},\ i,j\in\{1,\dots,l\}-I(\infty), i\neq j\}$ is separating. Then the pair $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \{\tilde\mu_{\tilde\imath,s},\ \tilde\imath = 1,\dots,\tilde l, s=1,\dots,n\}$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \{\tilde\nu_{\tilde\imath,\tilde\jmath},\ \tilde\imath,\tilde\jmath\in\{1,\dots,\tilde l\},\tilde\imath\neq\tilde\jmath\}$ is separating.* $\square$

3. Populations of critical points

3.1. **Remarks on Fuchsian equations.** Consider a differential equation for a function $u(x)$

$$u^{(k)} \;+\; p_1u^{(n-1)} \;+\; \dots \;+\; p_ku \;=\; 0\ , \tag{3.1}$$

where $p_i = p_i(x)$ are rational functions. A point $z\in\mathbb{C}$ is called an *ordinary point* if all $p_i(x)$ are holomorphic at z. A non-ordinary point is called *singular.*

A singular point $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is called *regular* if the order of the pole of p_i at z is at most i.

Equation (3.1) has an ordinary (resp., singular, regular singular) point at infinity if after the change of variable $x = 1/\xi$ the point $\xi = 0$ is ordinary (resp., singular, regular singular).

A differential equation with only regular singular points is called *Fuchsian.*

Let $f_1, \dots, f_k$ be linearly independent polynomials. There is a unique (up to multiplication by a function) linear differential equation of order k with solutions $f_1, \dots, f_k$,

$$W(u, f_1, \dots, f_k) \;/\; W(f_1, \dots, f_k) \;=\; 0\;,$$

where

$$W(g_1, \dots, g_s) \;=\; \det(g_i^{(j-1)})_{i,j=1}^{s}$$

is the *Wronskian* of functions $g_1, \dots, g_s$. This equation is Fuchsian.

Consider a Fuchsian equation (3.1) and write in a neighborhood of a point $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$p_i \;=\; \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} p_{is}\,(x-z)^{s-i}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

If a function

$$u \;=\; (x-z)^{\lambda}\,(1 \;+\; \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} a_s\,(x-z)^s)$$

is a solution of equation (3.1), then λ is a root of the *indicial equation* at the point z

$$\lambda(\lambda-1)\dots(\lambda-k+1) \;+\; p_{10}\lambda(\lambda-1)\dots(\lambda-k+2) \;+\; \dots \;+\; p_{k0} \;=\; 0.$$

The roots of the indicial equation at a point z are called *exponents* at z of the Fuchsian equation. If V is the space of solutions of the Fuchsian equation, then the roots of the indicial equation are called the exponents of V at z.

3.2. **Polynomials representing critical points.** Let $\boldsymbol{t} = (t_j^{(i)})$ be a critical point of a master function $\Phi = \Phi(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}; \bar{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}})$. Introduce polynomials $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_1(x), \dots, y_r(x))$,

$$y_i(x) \;=\; \prod_{j=1}^{l_i} (x - t_j^{(i)}).$$

The r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}$ determines the $S_{\boldsymbol{l}}$-orbit of the critical point $\boldsymbol{t}$. We say that the r-tuple of polynomials $\boldsymbol{y}$ *represents a critical point* of the master function Φ. Usually we do not distinguish between the critical points of the same $S_{\boldsymbol{l}}$-orbit and identify a critical point with the r-tuple of polynomials $\boldsymbol{y}$ representing the point.

We consider the r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}$ up to multiplication of each coordinate by a non-zero number, since we are interested only in the roots of polynomials $y_1, \dots, y_r$. Thus the r-tuple defines a point in the direct product $\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^r$ of r copies of the projective space associated with the vector space of polynomials of x.

Introduce polynomials

$$T_i(x) = \prod_{s=1}^{n} (x - z_s)^{\langle \Lambda_s, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, r. \tag{3.2}$$

We say that a given r-tuple of polynomials $\boldsymbol{y} \in \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^r$ is *generic with respect to integral dominant weights* $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ *of the Kac-Moody algebra* $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ *and points* $z_1, \dots z_n$ if

- each polynomial $y_i(x)$ has no multiple roots;
- all roots of $y_i(x)$ are different from roots of the polynomial T_i;
- any two polynomials $y_i(x)$, $y_j(x)$ have no common roots if $i \neq j$ and $a_{ij} \neq 0$.

If $\boldsymbol{y}$ represents a critical point of Φ, then $\boldsymbol{y}$ is generic.

Now we reformulate the property of $\boldsymbol{y}$ to represent a critical point.

Write $f' = \partial f / \partial x$ and $\ln'(f) = f'/f$. Let polynomials F_i, G_i, $i = 1, \dots, r$, be given by

$$F_i \;=\; \prod_{s=1}^{n} (x - z_s) \prod_{j,\ a_{ij} \neq 0} y_j, \qquad G_i \;=\; F_i \, \ln' \Big(T_i \prod_{j,\ j \neq i} y_j^{-\langle \alpha_j, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle} \Big) .$$

Lemma 3.1. *A generic r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}$ represents a critical point if and only if for every $i = 1, \dots, r$ the polynomial $F_i \; y_i'' \; - \; G_i \; y_i'$ is divisible by the polynomial y_i. In other words, a generic r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}$ represents a critical point if and only if for every $i = 1, \dots, r$ there exists a polynomial H_i, such that deg $H_i \; \leq$ deg $F_i \; - \; 2$, and the polynomial y_i is a solution of the differential equation*

$$F_i \; u'' \; - \; G_i \; u' \; + \; H_i \; u \; = \; 0 \; . \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. The lemma is a direct corollary of a classical result of Heine-Stieltjes, see [S], Section 6.8. We sketch the proof.

Assume that there exist such polynomials $H_1, \dots, H_r$. Substitute $x = t_j^{(i)}$ into $F_i \; y_i'' \; - \; G_i \; y_i' \; + \; H_i \; y_i \; = \; 0$. We get $y''(t_j^{(i)})/y_i'(t_j^{(i)}) \; = \; G_i(t_j^{(i)})/F_i(t_j^{(i)})$. This is exactly equation (2.2) multiplied by $2/(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$, since for $f = \prod_s (x - a_s)$ we have

$$\frac{f''}{f'}(a_s) \;=\; \sum_{k,\ k \neq s} \; \frac{2}{a_s - a_k} \; .$$

This means that the roots of polynomials $y_1, \dots, y_r$ form a critical point. This argument is reversible. ☐

Let $\boldsymbol{y}$ represent a critical point. Then equation (3.3) is Fuchsian. The singular points and exponents of that equation are

$$\begin{aligned}
&x = z_s : && \{0, \langle \Lambda_s, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle + 1\}, \\
&x = t_j^{(k)},\ k \neq i : && \{0, -\langle \alpha_k, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle + 1\}, \\
&x = \infty : && \{-l_i, -\textstyle\sum_s \langle \Lambda_s, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle + \sum_{k,\ k \neq i} l_k \langle \alpha_k, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle - 1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\boldsymbol{y}$ be generic and let $\langle \Lambda_s, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle$ be non-negative integers for some i and all $s = 1, \dots, n$. Then $F_i\ y_i'' \ -\ G_i\ y_i'$ is divisible by y_i if and only if there exists a polynomial $\tilde y_i(x)$ such that the Wronskian $W(y_i, \tilde y_i)$ is given by*

$$W(y_i, \tilde y_i) \ =\ T_i \prod_{j,\ j\neq i} y_j^{-\langle \alpha_j, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle} \ . \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. If $H_i \ =\ (F_i\ y_i'' \ -\ G_i\ y_i')/y_i$ is a polynomial, then by [ScV], Lemma 7, all solutions of $F_i\ u'' \ -\ G_i\ u' \ +\ H_i\ u \ =\ 0$ are polynomials. Then $\tilde y_i$ is any second linearly independent solution multiplied by a suitable constant. This proves the "only if" part of the lemma.

Let a polynomial $\tilde y_i$ exist. The polynomial y_i is a solution of the equation $W(u, y_i, \tilde y_i) = 0$. After multiplying this equation by $F_i/W(y_i, \tilde y_i)$ we get $F_i u'' - G_i u' + H_i u = 0$, where

$$H_i(x) \ =\ F_i\ \frac{y_i'\ \tilde y_i'' \ -\ y_i''\ \tilde y_i'}{W(y_i, \tilde y_i)} \ =\ F_i\ \frac{\ln'(W(y_i, \tilde y_i))\ y_i' - y_i''}{y_i} \ .$$

It is clear that $F_i \ln'(W(y_i, \tilde y_i))$ is a polynomial. Therefore, poles of H_i are common zeros of y_i and $W(y_i, \tilde y_i)$. Equation (3.4) implies that the polynomials y_i and $W(y_i, \tilde y_i)$ do not have common zeros since $\boldsymbol{y}$ is assumed to be generic. Therefore H_i is a polynomial. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let the weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ be dominant integral. Then a generic r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}$ represents a critical point if and only if for every $i = 1, \dots, r$ there is a polynomial $\tilde y_i$ satisfying (3.4).*

Lemma 3.4. *Let $y_1, \dots, y_r,\ T_i$ be given and let $\tilde y_i$ satisfy equation (3.4). Then, up to multiplication by a non-zero number, the function $\tilde y_i$ has the form*

$$\tilde y_i(x) \ =\ c_1\ y_i(x) \int\ T_i(x) \prod_{j=1}^{r} y_j^{-\langle \alpha_j, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle}\ dx \ +\ c_2\ y_i(x)\ , \tag{3.5}$$

where c_1, c_2 are complex numbers. □

Notice that formula (3.5) gives all solutions of the differential equation

$$F_i\ u'' \ -\ G_i\ u' \ +\ H_i\ u \ =\ 0\ .$$

Lemma 3.4 shows that the r-tuples

$$\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} \ =\ (y_1, \dots, \tilde y_i, \dots, y_r) \qquad \in \qquad \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^r\ , \tag{3.6}$$

where $\tilde y_i$ is given by (3.5), form a one-parameter family. The parameter space of the family is identified with the projective line $\boldsymbol{P}^1$ with projective coordinates $(c_1 : c_2)$. We have a map

$$Y_{\boldsymbol{y},i}\ :\ \boldsymbol{P}^1 \ \to \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^r\ , \tag{3.7}$$

which sends a point $c = (c_1 : c_2)$ to the corresponding r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}$.

Lemma 3.5. *If $\boldsymbol{y}$ is generic, then almost all r-tuples $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}$ are generic. The exceptions form a finite set in $\boldsymbol{P}^1$.* □

3.3. **Fertile r-tuples.** Let $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ be dominant integral weights, $z_1, \dots, z_n$ complex numbers. Let $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_r) \in \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^r$ and let l_i be the degree of the polynomial y_i. The weight

$$\Lambda_\infty \;=\; \sum_{s=1}^{n} \Lambda_s \;-\; \sum_{i=1}^{r} l_i \; \alpha_i$$

is called *the weight at infinity* of the r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}$ with respect to $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ and $z_1, \dots, z_n$.

An r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}$ is called *fertile* with respect to dominant integral weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ and complex numbers $z_1, \dots, z_n$, if for every i there exists a polynomial $\tilde{y}_i$ satisfying equation (3.4). If $\boldsymbol{y}$ is fertile, then the r-tuples $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}$ given by (3.6) are called *immediate descendants* of $\boldsymbol{y}$ in the i-th direction.

A generic r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}$ represents a critical point of a master function associated to dominant integral weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ and points $z_1, \dots, z_n$ if and only if it is fertile, see Corollary 3.3.

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that a sequence $\boldsymbol{y}_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, of fertile r-tuples of polynomials has a limit $\boldsymbol{y}_\infty$ in $\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^r$ as k tends to infinity.*

- *Then the limiting r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}_\infty$ is fertile.*
- *Let $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Let $\boldsymbol{y}_\infty^{(i)}$ be an immediate descendant of $\boldsymbol{y}_\infty$ in the i-th direction. Then for any k, there exists an immediate descendant $\boldsymbol{y}_k^{(i)}$ of $\boldsymbol{y}_k$ such that $\boldsymbol{y}_\infty^{(i)}$ is the limit of $\boldsymbol{y}_k^{(i)}$ as k tends to infinity.*

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{y}_k = (y_{k,1}, \dots, y_{k,r})$. For every k, including $k = \infty$, consider the differential equation

$$F_{k,i} \; u'' \;-\; G_{k,i} \; u' \;+\; H_{k,i} \; u \;=\; 0, \tag{3.8}$$

where $F_{k,i}$, $G_{k,i}$ are as in (3.3) with y_j replaced by $y_{k,j}$ and $H_{j,i} \;=\; (G_{k,i} y'_{k,i} - F_{k,i} y''_{k,i})/y_{k,i}$. For every $k < \infty$, all solutions of that equation are polynomials, and the polynomial $y_{k,i}$ is one of solutions.

The lemma would follow if we proved that all solutions of equation (3.8) for $k = \infty$ were polynomials.

Since the sequence of $\boldsymbol{y}_k$ has a limit, there is a point $z \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $x = z$ is an ordinary point of equation (3.8) for all k. Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $\tilde{y}_{k,i}$ be the solution of (3.8) with the initial condition $\tilde{y}_{k,i}(z) = a$, $\tilde{y}'_{k,i}(z) = b$.

By the standard theorem on continuous dependence of solutions on the coefficients of the equation, the function $\tilde{y}_{\infty,i}$ is the limit of functions $\tilde{y}_{k,i}$ as k tends to infinity.

The function $\tilde{y}_{\infty,i}$ is univalued and regular, since $\tilde{y}_{k,i}$ is a polynomial for every finite k. Hence the function $\tilde{y}_{\infty,i}$ is a polynomial. Thus all solutions of equation (3.8) for $k = \infty$ are polynomials. This implies the lemma. □

Let $\boldsymbol{y}$ represent a critical point of a master function $\Phi(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \Lambda_\infty)$. Let $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} = (y_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_i, \dots, y_r)$, be an immediate descendant of $\boldsymbol{y}$ and let $\Lambda_\infty^{(i)}$ be its weight at infinity with respect to $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ and $z_1, \dots, z_n$.

The following observation is crucial in this paper.

Theorem 3.7. *If $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}$ is generic, then $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}$ represents a critical point of the master function $\Phi(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{z};\boldsymbol{\Lambda},\Lambda_\infty^{(i)})$.*

Proof. Denote $\tilde t_s^{(i)}$ the roots of $\tilde y_i$. For any j, such that $j\neq i$ and $a_{ij}\neq 0$, choose a root $t_k^{(j)}$ of the polynomial y_j. We have $W(y_i,\tilde y_i)(t_k^{(j)})=0$ by (3.4). Hence

$$\sum_s \frac{1}{t_k^{(j)}-t_s^{(i)}} \;=\; \sum_s \frac{1}{t_k^{(j)}-\tilde t_s^{(i)}}\ .$$

This implies that the roots of $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}$ satisfy the equation of system (2.2) corresponding to the coordinate $t_k^{(j)}$.

The roots of $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}$ satisfy the equations of system (2.2) corresponding to coordinates $\tilde t_s^{(i)}$ according to Lemma 3.2. □

Thus, starting with an r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}$ representing a critical point of a master function $\Phi(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{z};\boldsymbol{\Lambda},\Lambda_\infty)$ and an index $i\in\{1,\dots,r\}$, we construct in (3.7) a family $Y_{\boldsymbol{y},i}:\boldsymbol{P}^1\to\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^r$ of fertile r-tuples. For almost all $c\in\boldsymbol{P}^1$ (with only finitely many exceptions), the r-tuple $Y_{\boldsymbol{y},i}(c)$ represents a critical point of a master function associated with integral dominant weights $\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n$ and points $z_1,\dots,z_n$.

We call this construction the *simple reproduction procedure in the i-th direction.*

3.4. **General reproduction procedure.** Assume that the weights $\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n$ are dominant integral and an r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}^0\in\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^r$ represents a critical point of a master function $\Phi(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{z};\boldsymbol{\Lambda},\Lambda_\infty)$.

Let $\boldsymbol{i}=(i_1,i_r,\dots,i_k),\ 1\le i_j\le r$, be a sequence of natural numbers. We define a k-parameter family of fertile r-tuples

$$Y_{\boldsymbol{y}^0,\boldsymbol{i}}\ :\ (\boldsymbol{P}^1)^k\ \to\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^r$$

by induction on k, starting at $\boldsymbol{y}^0$ and successively applying the simple reproduction procedure in directions $i_1,\dots,i_k$.

More precisely, for $k=1$, it is the family $Y_{\boldsymbol{y}^0,i_1}:\boldsymbol{P}^1\ \to\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^r$ defined by (3.7). If $k>1,\ \boldsymbol{i}'=(i_1,i_2,\dots,i_{k-1})$, $\boldsymbol{c}=(c^1,\dots,c^k)\in(\boldsymbol{P}^1)^k$, and $\boldsymbol{c}'=(c^1,\dots,c^{k-1})\in(\boldsymbol{P}^1)^{k-1}$, then we set

$$Y_{\boldsymbol{y}^0,\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{c})\ =\ Y_{Y_{\boldsymbol{y}^0,\boldsymbol{i}'}(\boldsymbol{c}'),i_k}(c^k)\ .$$

The image $P_{\boldsymbol{y}^0,\boldsymbol{i}}\ \subset\ \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^r$ of the map $Y_{\boldsymbol{y}^0,\boldsymbol{i}}$ is called the *population in the direction of $\boldsymbol{i}$ originated at $\boldsymbol{y}^0$*. The set $P_{\boldsymbol{y}^0,\boldsymbol{i}}$ is an irreducible algebraic variety.

It is easy to see that if $\boldsymbol{i}'=(i_1',i_r',\dots,i_{k'}'),\ 1\le i_j'\le r$, is a sequence of natural numbers, and the sequence $\boldsymbol{i}'$ is contained in the sequence $\boldsymbol{i}$ as an ordered subset, then $P_{\boldsymbol{y}^0,\boldsymbol{i}'}$ is a subset of $P_{\boldsymbol{y}^0,\boldsymbol{i}}$.

The union

$$P_{\boldsymbol{y}^0}\ =\ \cup_{\boldsymbol{i}}\ P_{\boldsymbol{y}^0,\boldsymbol{i}}\ \subset\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^r\ ,$$

where the summation is over all sequences $\boldsymbol{i}$, is called *the population of critical points associated* with the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, points $z_1, \dots, z_n$, and *originated* at y^0.

Lemma 3.8. *For a given* $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$, *almost all* r*-tuples* $Y_{\boldsymbol{y}^0,\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{c})$ *represent critical points of master functions associated to weights* $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, *and points* $z_1, \dots, z_n$. *Exceptional values of* $c \in (\boldsymbol{P}^1)^k$ *are contained in a proper algebraic subset.* ☐

Lemma 3.9. *If two populations intersect, then they coincide.* ☐

3.5. **Populations and flag varieties.**

Example. Consider the population of critical points associated to $\mathfrak{g} = sl_3$ and $n = 0$ and originated at $\boldsymbol{y}^0 = (1,1)$. The pair $(1,1)$ represents the critical point of the function with no variables. This population consists of pairs of non-zero polynomials $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_1, y_2)$, where

$$y_i \;=\; a_{2,i}\, x^2 \;+\; a_{1,i}\, x \;+\; a_{0,i}\;, \qquad i = 1, 2\;, \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$a_{1,1}\; a_{1,2} \;=\; 2\; a_{0,1}\; a_{2,2} \;+ 2\; a_{2,1}\; a_{0,2}\;.$$

For any pair $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_1, y_2)$, if y_1, y_2 do not have multiple roots and do not have common roots, then the roots of the polynomials y_1, y_2 form a critical point of the function

$$\Phi \;=\; \prod_{1\le i<j\le l_1} (t_i^{(1)} - t_j^{(1)})^2 \prod_{1\le i<j\le l_2} (t_i^{(2)} - t_j^{(2)})^2 \prod_{i=1}^{l_1}\prod_{j=1}^{l_2} (t_i^{(1)} - t_j^{(2)})^{-1}\,,$$

where $l_1 = \deg y_1$ and $l_2 = \deg y_2$.

In this case equations (3.4) take the form

$$W(y_1, \tilde y_1) \;=\; y_2\;, \qquad W(y_2, \tilde y_2) \;=\; y_1\;, \tag{3.10}$$

and the reproduction procedure works as follows. We start with $\boldsymbol{y}^0 = (1,1)$. Equations (3.10) have the form $W(1,\ \tilde y_1) = 1,\ W(1,\ \tilde y_2) = 1$. Using, the first of them, we get pairs $\boldsymbol{y} = (x+a,\ 1)$ for all numbers a. Equations (3.10) now are $W(x+a,\ \tilde y_1) = 1,\ W(1,\ \tilde y_2) = x + a$. Using the second equation we get pairs $\boldsymbol{y} = (x+a,\ x^2/2 + ax + b)$ for all a, b. Equations (3.10) take the form $W(x+a,\ \tilde y_1) = x^2/2+ax+b,\ W(x^2/2+ax+b,\ \tilde y_2) = x+a$. Using the first of them we get $\boldsymbol{y} = (x^2/2 + cx + ac - b,\ x^2/2 + ax + b)$ for all a, b, c.

If we started the procedure using equation $W(1,\ \tilde y_2) = 1$, then the constructed pairs would have been of the form $\boldsymbol{y} = (1,\ x+a)$, $\boldsymbol{y} = (x^2/2 + ax + b,\ x + a)$, $\boldsymbol{y} = (x^2/2 + ax + b,\ x^2/2 + cx + ac - b)$.

It is easy to see that the union of all those pairs is our population, and nothing else can be constructed starting from $\boldsymbol{y}^0 = (1,1)$.

It is easy to see that the family of pairs (3.9) (where each pair is considered up to multiplication of its coordinates by non-zero numbers) is isomorphic as an algebraic variety to the variety of all full flags in the three dimensional vector space V of the first coordinates of the pairs. Namely, y_1 generates a line in V and y_2 defines a plane in V containing the line generated by y_1.

Conjecture 3.10. *Every population, associated to a Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, dominant integral weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, points $z_1, \dots, z_n$, is a (pro-)algebraic variety isomorphic to the full flag variety associated to the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}^t$ which is Langlands dual to $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, the parts of the family corresponding to r-tuples of polynomials with fixed degrees are isomorphic to Bruhat cells of the flag variety.*

In the example above the possible degrees of polynomials y_1, y_2 are (0,0), (1,0), (0,1), (1,2), (2,1), (2,2). The corresponding parts of the family are isomorphic to open Bruhat cells of dimensions 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, respectively.

In this paper we prove this conjecture for the Lie algebras with root systems of types A_N, B_N, C_N.

3.6. **Degrees of polynomials in a population and the Weyl group.** Let $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ be dominant integral weights, $z_1, \dots, z_n$ complex numbers. Let an r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}$ be a member of a population P associated to dominant integral weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ and points $z_1, \dots, z_n$. The r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}$ is fertile by Lemma 3.6. Let an r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} = (y_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_i, \dots, y_r)$ be an immediate descendant of $\boldsymbol{y}$. Let $\Lambda_\infty^{(i)}$ be the weight at infinity of $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}$.

Lemma 3.11. *If the degree of $\tilde{y}_i$ is not equal to the degree of y_i, then*

$$\Lambda_\infty^{(i)} \;=\; s_i \cdot \Lambda_\infty \;,$$

where $s_i \cdot$ is the shifted action of the i-th generating reflection of the Weyl group.

Proof. Formula (3.4) implies

$$\tilde{l}_i \,+\, l_i \,-\, 1 \;=\; \deg\, W(y_i, \tilde{y}_i) \;=\; \langle\, \sum_s \Lambda_s \,-\, \sum_{j,\ j\neq i} l_j\alpha_j,\ \alpha_i^\vee \,\rangle \;.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\ \tilde{l}_i \,-\, l_i\ )\ \alpha_i \;&=\; \langle\, \sum_s \Lambda_s \,-\, \sum_j l_j\alpha_j \,+\, \rho, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle\ \alpha_i \\ &=\; \Lambda_\infty \,+\, \rho \,-\, s_i\ (\ \Lambda_\infty \,+\, \rho\ ) \;=\; \Lambda_\infty \,-\, s_i \cdot \Lambda_\infty \;. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.12. *Let $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ be dominant integral weights. Let $\boldsymbol{y}^0$ be a critical point of a master function $\Phi(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \Lambda_\infty)$. Let P be the population of critical points associated to weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, points $z_1, \dots, z_n$, and originated at $\boldsymbol{y}^0$. Then*

- *For any r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y} \in P$, there is an element w of the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$, such that the weight at infinity of $\boldsymbol{y}$ is $w \cdot \Lambda_\infty$.*
- *For any element $w \in \mathcal{W}$, there is an r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y} \in P$ whose weight at infinity is $w \cdot \Lambda_\infty$.*

□

Corollary 3.13. *If the Weyl group is finite, then every population is a finite dimensional algebraic variety.*

More generally, for a non-negative integer d, let $\mathbb{C}_d[x] \subset \mathbb{C}[x]$ be the vector subspace of polynomials of degree not greater than d.

Corollary 3.14. *For every d and every population P, associated to a Kac-Moody algebra, dominant integral weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, points $z_1, \dots, z_n$, the intersection $P \cap \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}_d[x])^r$ is an algebraic variety.*

Theorem 3.12 gives some sufficient conditions for a master function not to have critical points.

Corollary 3.15. *Let Φ be the master function associated to dominant integral weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ and a weight at infinity Λ_∞. Assume that there is an element w of the Weyl group such that $\sum_{s=1}^n \Lambda_s - w \cdot \Lambda_\infty$ does not belong to the cone $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_r$. Then the master function Φ does not have critical points.*

Corollary 3.16. *Let Φ be the master function associated to dominant integral weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ and a weight at infinity Λ_∞. Assume that Λ_∞ belongs to one of the reflection hyperplanes of the shifted action of the Weyl group. Then the master function Φ does not have critical points.*

The next corollary says that under certain conditions on weights there is only one population of critical points.

Namely, the r-tuple $(1, \dots, 1) \in \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^r$ is the unique r-tuple of non-zero polynomials of degree 0. The weight at infinity of $(1, \dots, 1)$ is $\Lambda_{\infty,(1,\dots,1)} = \sum_{s=1}^n \Lambda_s$. Let $O_{(1,\dots,1)}$ be the orbit of the weight $\Lambda_{\infty,(1,\dots,1)}$ with respect to the shifted action of the Weyl group. Let $P_{(1,\dots,1)}$ be the population associated to dominant integral weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, complex numbers $z_1, \dots, z_n$, and originated at $(1, \dots, 1)$.

Corollary 3.17. *Let $\Phi(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \Lambda_\infty)$ be a master function such that $\Lambda_\infty \in O_{(1,\dots,1)}$. If the master function has critical points, then they belong to the population $P_{(1,\dots,1)}$.*

3.7. **Finiteness of the number of populations.**

Theorem 3.18. *Let $\Phi(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \Lambda_\infty)$ be the master function associated to dominant integral weights $\Lambda_1, \dots \Lambda_n$, a weight at infinity Λ_∞, complex numbers $z_1, \dots, z_n$. Then the number of populations originated at critical points of the function Φ is finite, if the orbit of Λ_∞ with respect to the shifted action of the Weyl group contains a weight $w \cdot \Lambda_\infty$ such that the set $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$, $w \cdot \Lambda_\infty$ is separating.*

The theorem is a direct corollary of Lemmas 2.3 - 2.5.

4. VECTOR SPACES OF POLYNOMIALS AND SCHUBERT CELLS

In this section we remind known facts about Schubert calculus.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a complex vector space of dimension $d+1$ and

$$\mathcal{F} = \{0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_{d+1} = \mathcal{V}\}, \qquad \dim F_i = i,$$

a full flag in $\mathcal{V}$. Let $Gr(N+1, \mathcal{V})$ be the Grassmannian of all $N+1$ dimensional subspaces in $\mathcal{V}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{N+1})$, $d - N \geq a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_{N+1} \geq 0$, be a non-increasing sequence of non-negative integers. Define the *Schubert cell* $G^0_{\boldsymbol{a}}(\mathcal{F})$ assocaiated to the flag $\mathcal{F}$ and sequence $\boldsymbol{a}$ as the set

$$\begin{aligned}\{V \in Gr(N+1, \mathcal{V}) \mid \quad & \dim(V \cap F_{d-N+i-a_i}) = i, \\ & \dim(V \cap F_{d-N+i-a_i-1}) = i-1, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N+1\}.\end{aligned}$$

The closure $G_{\boldsymbol{a}}(\mathcal{F})$ of the Schubert cell is called *the Schubert cycle.* For a fixed flag F, the Schubert cells form a cell decomposition of the Grassmannian. The codimension of $G^0_{\boldsymbol{a}}(\mathcal{F}(z))$ in the Grassmannian is $|\boldsymbol{a}| = a_1 + \dots + a_{N+1}$. The cell corresponding to $\boldsymbol{a} = (0, \dots, 0)$ is open in the Grassmannian.

Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{C}_d[x]$ be the space of polynomials of degree not greader than d, $\dim \mathcal{V} = d+1$. For any $z \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$, define a full flag in $\mathbb{C}_d[x]$,

$$\mathcal{F}(z) \;=\; \{0 \subset F_1(z) \subset F_2(z) \subset \dots \subset F_{d+1}(z)\} \;.$$

For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and any i, let $F_i(z)$ be the subspace of all polynomials divisible by $(x-z)^{d+1-i}$. For any i, let $F_i(\infty)$ be the subspace of all polynomials of degree less than i.

Thus, for any sequence $\boldsymbol{a}$ and any $z \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$, we have a Schubert cell $G^0_{\boldsymbol{a}}(\mathcal{F}(z))$ in the Grassmanian $Gr(N+1, \mathbb{C}_d[x])$ of all $N+1$-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{C}_d[x]$.

Let $V \in Gr(N+1, \mathbb{C}_d[x])$. For any $z \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$, let $\boldsymbol{a}(z)$ be such a unique sequence that V belongs to the cell $G^0_{\boldsymbol{a}(z)}(\mathcal{F}(z))$. We say that a point $z \in \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$ is *a ramification point* for V, if $\boldsymbol{a}(z) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$.

This standard terminology is motivated by the fact that each $V \in Gr(N+1, \mathbb{C}_d[x])$ defines a rational map $\boldsymbol{P}^1 \to \boldsymbol{P}^N$.

Lemma 4.1. *For a basis* $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$ *in* V, *let*

$$W(u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}) \;=\; c \prod_{s=1}^{n} (x \;-\; z_s)^{m_s} \;, \qquad c \neq 0 \;,$$

be the Wronskian. Then

- *The ramification points for* V *are the points* $z_1, \dots, z_n$ *and possibly* ∞.
- *We have* $|\boldsymbol{a}(z_s)| = m_s$ *for every* s.
- *We have* $|\boldsymbol{a}(\infty)| = (N+1)(d-N) - \sum_{s=1}^{n} m_s$.

□

Corollary 4.2. *(Plücker formula)*
We have

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n} |\boldsymbol{a}(z_s)| \ + \ |\boldsymbol{a}(\infty)| \ = \ \dim \ Gr(N+1, \mathbb{C}_d[x]) \ . \tag{4.1}$$

A point $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is called *a base point* for V if $u(z) = 0$ for every $u \in V$.

Assume that ramification conditions are fixed at $z_1, \dots, z_n, \infty$ so that (4.1) is satisfied.

Enumerating Problem. What is the number of V with those ramification properties?

By the Brill-Noether Theorem in [EH], the number of such V is always finite. The estimate from above is given by the Schubert calculus. The intersection of Schubert cycles is a union of Schubert cycles,

$$G_{\boldsymbol{a}} \ \cdot \ G_{\boldsymbol{b}} \ = \ \sum_{\boldsymbol{c}} \ N_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{c}} \, G_{\boldsymbol{c}} \ , \tag{4.2}$$

where the cycles are considered up to algebraic equivalence [Fu]. The structure constants of this multiplication are determined by the Littlewood-Richardson rule, which has a representation theoretic sense.

Namely, for a non-increasing sequence $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{N+1})$, $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots, \geq a_{N+1} \geq 0$, of non-negative integers, denote $\tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ the finite dimensional irreducible gl_{N+1}-module with highest weight $\boldsymbol{a}$. The tensor product of irreducible modules is a direct sum of irreducible modules,

$$\tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \ \otimes \ \tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{b}} \ = \ \sum_{\boldsymbol{c}} \ \tilde{N}_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{c}} \, \tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{c}} \ . \tag{4.3}$$

If $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}$ are such that a_1, b_1, c_1 are not greater than $d - N$, then

$$\tilde{N}_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{c}} \ = \ N_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{c}} \ ,$$

see [M].

Any gl_{N+1}-module $\tilde{L}$ has a natural structure of an sl_{N+1}-module denoted L.

The Littlewood-Richardson rule and the above remarks imply the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *The number of $V \in Gr(N+1, \mathbb{C}_d[x])$ with prescribed ramification conditions at $z_1, \dots, z_n$, such that (4.1) is satisfied, is not greater than the multiplicity of the trivial sl_{N+1}-module in the tensor product of sl_{N+1}-modules*

$$L_{\boldsymbol{a}(z_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\boldsymbol{a}(z_n)} \otimes L_{\boldsymbol{a}(\infty)} \ .$$

Conjecturally, for almost all $z_1, \dots z_n$ the number of such V is equal to the above multiplicity. For $N = 1$ the statement of this conjecture is one of corollaries of the main result in [ScV].

5. THE CASE OF THE LIE ALGEBRA sl_{N+1}

In this section we study populations of critical points associated with sl_{N+1}. Let α_i, $i = 1, \dots, N$, be simple roots of sl_{N+1}. We have $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = 2$ and $(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i\pm 1}) = -1$ with all other scalar products equal to zero.

5.1. **Reproduction procedure for $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$ and associated differential operators.** Let $\Lambda_s, s = 1, \dots, n$, be dominant integral sl_2-weights. Let $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $\Lambda_\infty = \sum_s \Lambda_s - l\alpha$.

Let a polynomial y represent a critical point of the master function $\Phi(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \Lambda_\infty)$.

For $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$, system (3.4) is a single equation $W(y, \tilde{y}) = T$, where $T = \prod_s (x - z_s)^{(\Lambda_s, \alpha)}$.

Let $\tilde{y}$ be a solution of this equation. Let V be the complex two-dimensional vector space of polynomials spanned by y and $\tilde{y}$. The vector space V is the space of solutions of the differential equation

$$F\ u'' \ -\ G\ u' +\ H\ u\ =\ 0\ ,$$

where

$$F(x) = \prod_{s=1}^{n} (x - z_s),\ G(x) = F(x) \ln'(T(x)),\ H(x) = (G(x)y'(x) - F(x)y''(x))/y(x).$$

Lemma 5.1. *The projective line $\boldsymbol{P}(V)$ is the population of critical points associated with sl_2, dominant integral weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, points $z_1, \dots, z_n$, and originated at y. Almost all points of the line represent critical points. The exceptions form a finite subset of the line.* ☐

For any integer $k \geq 1$ it is useful to introduce a linear differential operator of order $k+1$ whose kernel is spanned by polynomials $y^i \tilde{y}^{k-i}$, $i = 0, \dots, k$. Namely, for any $g \in V$, set

$$\begin{aligned} D_k(g) &= (\partial + k \ln' g - k \ln' T))\ \dots\ (\partial + (-k+2) \ln' g - \ln' T)\ (\partial - k \ln' g) \\ &= \prod_{i}^{0\ \to\ k} (\partial + (k - 2i) \ln' g + (i - k) \ln' T)\ . \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.2. *The operator $D_k(g)$ does not depend on the choice of a non-zero element $g \in V$, and the kernel of $D_k(g)$ is spanned by polynomials $y^i \tilde{y}^{k-i}$, $i = 0, \dots, k$.*

Proof. Let $g, \tilde{g}$ be a basis in V. By induction on i, we prove that the kernel of the product of i right linear factors in $D_k(g)$ is spanned by functions $g^k, g^{k-1}\tilde{g}, \dots, g^{k-i+1}\tilde{g}^{i-1}$.

For $i = 1$ the statement is clear. Suppose that the statement is proved for $i < i_0$. Then the kernel of the product of i_0 right factors is an i_0-dimensional space which contains g^k, $g^{k-1}\tilde{g}$, …, $g^{k-i_0+2}\tilde{g}^{i_0-2}$. Note that the expansion of the product of i_0 right factors starts with

$$\partial^{i_0}\ -\ (i_0(k - i_0 + 1) \ln' y\ +\ i_0(i_0 - 1)/2 \ln' T)\, \partial^{i_0 - 1}\ +\ \dots\ .$$

Therefore it is enough to show that

$$W(g^k, g^{k-1}\tilde g, \dots, g^{k-i_0+1}\tilde g^{i_0-1}) \;=\; c\, g^{i_0(k-i_0+1)}\, T^{i_0(i_0-1)/2}$$

for some non-zero constant c. This readily follows from Lemmas 9.2 and 9.3. □

5.2. **The differential operator associated to an sl_{N+1}-population.** Let $\Lambda_s, s = 1,\dots,n$, be dominant integral sl_{N+1}-weights. Let $z_1,\dots,z_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $i = 1,\dots,N$, and $\Lambda_\infty = \sum_{s=1}^n \Lambda_s - \sum_{i=1}^N l_i\alpha_i$. Let the polynomials T_i, $i = 1,\dots,N$, be defined by (3.2).

Let $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_1,\dots,y_N)$ be an N-tuple of non-zero polynomials. We adopt the notation $y_0 = y_{N+1} = 1$. For any $\boldsymbol{y}$, define a linear differential operator of order $N+1$ with meromorphic coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} D(\boldsymbol{y}) \;&=\; (\partial - \ln'(\frac{\prod_{s=1}^N T_s}{y_N}))\,(\partial - \ln'(\frac{y_N\prod_{s=1}^{N-1} T_s}{y_{N-1}}))\dots(\partial - \ln'(\frac{y_2T_1}{y_1}))\,(\partial - \ln'(y_1)) \\ &=\; \prod_i^{0\,\to\,N} \left(\,\partial \;-\; \ln'\,(\,\frac{y_{N+1-i}\prod_{s=1}^{N-i} T_s}{y_{N-i}}\,)\,\right)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Notice that the first coordinate y_1 of the N-tuple belongs to the kernel of the operator $D(\boldsymbol{y})$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let P be an sl_{N+1}-population of critical points associated with weights $\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n$, points $z_1,\dots,z_n$, and originated at some N-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}^0$. Then the differential operator $D(\boldsymbol{y})$ does not depend on the choice of $\boldsymbol{y}$ in P.*

This differential operator is called *associated with the population* and is denoted D_P.

Proof. First we prove that if $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_1,\dots,y_N)$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}} = (\tilde y_1,\dots,\tilde y_N)$ are members of the population which represent critical points, then $D(\boldsymbol{y}) = D(\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}})$. To show that statement, it is sufficient to consider the case, when $\boldsymbol{y}$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}$ differ only in one coordinate. Namely, we assume that there is an index i such that $y_j = \tilde y_j$, for all j, $j \neq i$, and $W(y_i, \tilde y_i) = T_i\, y_{i-1}\, y_{i+1}$. In this case, all linear factors of $D(\boldsymbol{y})$ and $D(\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}})$ are the same except the two linear factors which involve y_i or $\tilde y_i$. So to prove that $D(\boldsymbol{y}) = D(\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}})$ we have to show that for any function $u(x)$ we have

$$\begin{gathered} (\partial \;-\; \ln'(\frac{y_{i+1}\;\prod_{s=1}^{i} T_s}{y_i}))\,(\partial \;-\; \ln'(\frac{y_i\;\prod_{s=1}^{i-1} T_s}{y_{i-1}}))\,u \;= \\ (\partial \;-\; \ln'(\frac{y_{i+1}\;\prod_{s=1}^{i} T_s}{\tilde y_i}))\,(\partial \;-\; \ln'(\frac{\tilde y_i\;\prod_{s=1}^{i-1} T_s}{y_{i-1}}))\,u. \end{gathered}$$

After the change of variables $v = u\,(\prod_{s=1}^{i-1} T_s)\,/\,y_{i-1}$, we have to prove that

$$(\partial \;-\; \ln'(\frac{y_{i+1}y_{i-1}T_i}{y_i}))\,(\partial \;-\; \ln'(y_i))\,v \;=\; (\partial \;-\; \ln'(\frac{y_{i+1}y_{i-1}T_i}{\tilde y_i}))\,(\partial \;-\; \ln'(\tilde y_i))\,v\;.$$

This identity follows from the sl_2 case, see Lemma 5.2 with $k = 1$.

The N-tuples $\boldsymbol{y}$, which represent critical points, form a dense subset in the population. The operator $D(\boldsymbol{y})$ continuously depends on $\boldsymbol{y}$ and is constant on a dense subset of the population. Hence it is constant on the whole population. □

Corollary 5.4. *Let $\boldsymbol{y}$ be a member of an sl_{N+1}-population P. Then the first coordinate y_1 of $\boldsymbol{y}$ lies in the kernel of the operator D_P.*

5.3. **Equation $D_P u = 0$ is Fuchsian.** In this section we show that all solutions of equation

$$D_P\, u \;=\; 0 \tag{5.2}$$

are polynomials, and hence the equation is Fuchsian.

Let $\boldsymbol{y}$ be a member of the population P. Assume that $\boldsymbol{y}$ represents a critical point. System (3.4) for $\mathfrak{g} = sl_{N+1}$ takes the form

$$W(y_i, \tilde y_i) \;=\; T_i\, y_{i-1}\, y_{i+1}\,, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N\,. \tag{5.3}$$

We start with a construction of polynomials $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$, satisfying equation (5.2).

Set $u_1 = y_1$. The polynomial u_1 is a solution of (5.2) by Theorem 5.3.

Let u_2 be a polynomial such that $W(u_1, u_2) = T_1 y_2$. Such a polynomial exists by Lemma 3.2. The polynomial u_2 is a solution of (5.2) by Theorem 5.3.

Let $\tilde y_2$ be a polynomial such that $W(y_2, \tilde y_2) = T_2 y_1 y_3$. Such a polynomial exists by Lemma 3.2 and can be chosen so that $(y_1, \tilde y_2, \dots, y_N)$ is generic and therefore represents a critical point, see Lemma 5.1. Choose a polynomial u_3 to satisfy equation $W(u_3, y_1) = T_1 \tilde y_2$. The polynomial u_3 is a solution of (5.2) by Theorem 5.3.

In general, to construct a polynomial u_{i+1} we find $\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_i = (y_1, \dots, \tilde y_i, \dots, y_N)$, such that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_i$ is generic and $W(y_i, \tilde y_i) = T_i y_{i-1} y_{i+1}$ and then repeat the construction for u_i using $\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_i$ instead of $\boldsymbol{y}$.

Let V be the complex vector space spanned by $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$. We show below that the space V is the kernel of the operator D_P. Thus the space V does not depend on the choice of $\boldsymbol{y} \in P$. We call the space *the fundamental space of the population* P and denote V_P.

Lemma 5.5. *For $i = 1, \dots, N+1$, we have*

$$W(u_1, \dots, u_i) \;=\; y_i\, T_1^{i-1}\, T_2^{i-2}\, \dots\, T_{i-1}\,.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on i. The case $i = 1$ is trivial. Suppose the statement is proved for $i = 1, \dots, i_0 - 1$. By induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} W(W(u_1, \dots, u_{i_0-1}), W(u_1, \dots, u_{i_0-2}, u_{i_0})) \;&=\; W(y_{i_0-1} \prod_{s=1}^{i_0-2} T_s^{i_0-1-s},\ \tilde y_{i_0-1} \prod_{s=1}^{i_0-2} T_s^{i_0-1-s}) \\ =\; (\prod_{s=1}^{i_0-2} T_s^{i_0-1-s})^2\, W(y_{i_0-1}, \tilde y_{i_0-1}) \;&=\; (\prod_{s=1}^{i_0-2} T_s^{i_0-1-s})^2\, y_{i_0-2}\, y_{i_0}\, T_{i_0-1}\,. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 9.5 we have

$$W(W(u_1, \dots, u_{i_0-1}),\ W(u_1, \dots, u_{i_0-2}, u_{i_0})) \;=\; W(u_1, \dots, u_{i_0})\, W(u_1, \dots, u_{i_0-2})\,.$$

That implies the lemma. □

Lemma 5.6. *The space V is the kernel of the operator D_P.*

Proof. The polynomials $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$ are linearly independent solutions of equation (5.2). □

5.4. **Singular points and exponents of the equation $D_P u = 0$.** Choose a basis $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$ in V_P as in Section 5.3.

Lemma 5.7. *Singular points of $D_P u = 0$ lie in $\{z_1, \dots, z_n, \infty\}$.*

Proof. The operator $W(u_1, \dots, u_{N+1})\, D_P$ has polynomial coefficients. By Lemma 5.5 zeros of $W(u_1, \dots, u_{N+1})$ lie in $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$. □

Lemma 5.8. *For $s = 1, \dots, n$, the exponents of $D_P u = 0$ at z_s are*

$$0,\ (\Lambda_s + \rho,\ \alpha_1),\ (\Lambda_s + \rho,\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \dots, (\Lambda_s + \rho,\ \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N)\ .$$

Proof. For $i = 1, \dots, N+1$, let V_i be the space spanned by $u_1, \dots, u_i$. Let D_i be a linear differential operator of order i whose kernel is V_i. Such an operator is unique up to multiplication by a function. In particular, we have $V_{N+1} = V_P$ and $D_{N+1} = D_P$.

We prove by induction on i, that the exponents of D_i at z_s are

$$0,\ (\Lambda_s + \rho,\ \alpha_1),\ (\Lambda_s + \rho,\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \dots, (\Lambda_s + \rho,\ \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_i)\ .$$

For $i = 1$ we have $u_1(z_s) \neq 0$, hence the exponent of D_1 at z_s is zero.

Suppose we proved the statement for $i = 1, \dots, i_0 - 1$. By Lemma 5.5 the Wronskian $W(u_1, \dots, u_{i_0})$ has zero at z_s of order $(\Lambda_s,\ \sum_{j=1}^{i_0-1}(i_0 - j)\alpha_j)$. That implies our statement for $i = i_0$. □

Corollary 5.9. *The vector space V_P has no base points.*

By Theorem 3.12 there exists $\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}} \in P$ such that the weight of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}$ at infinity with respect to $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ is integral dominant. Remind that the weight at infinity is $\tilde\Lambda_\infty = \sum_{s=1}^n \Lambda_s - \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde l_i \alpha_i$, with $\tilde l_i = \deg \tilde y_i$.

Lemma 5.10. *The exponents of $D_P u = 0$ at infinity are*

$$\tilde l_1,\ \tilde l_1 + (\tilde\Lambda_\infty + \rho,\ \alpha_1),\ \tilde l_1 + (\tilde\Lambda_\infty + \rho,\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2),\ \dots,\ \tilde l_1 + (\tilde\Lambda_\infty + \rho,\ \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N)\ .$$

The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 5.8.

Corollary 5.11. *The ramification points of V_P are $z_1, \dots, z_n, \infty$. For $s = 1, \dots, n$, the ramification condition $\boldsymbol{a}(z_s)$ has the form $a_i(z_s) = \sum_{j=1}^{N+1-j}(\Lambda_s, \alpha_j)$, $i = 1, \dots, N+1$. The ramification condition $\boldsymbol{a}(\infty)$ has the form*

$$a_i(\infty) = d - N - \tilde l_1 - (\tilde\Lambda_\infty,\ \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{i-1})\ ,$$

$i = 1, \dots, N+1$.

5.5. **Vector spaces of polynomials and critical points.** Let $V \in Gr(N+1, \mathbb{C}_d[x])$ be an $N+1$-dimensional vector subspace in $\mathbb{C}_d[x]$ with no base points. Assume that the ramification set of space V consists of points $z_1, \dots, z_n, \infty$ with ramification conditions $\boldsymbol{a}(z_1), \dots, \boldsymbol{a}(z_n), \boldsymbol{a}(\infty)$, respectively.

Introduce dominant integral sl_{N+1}-weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \tilde{\Lambda}_\infty$. These weights will be called *the weights of* V .

For $s = 1, \dots, n$, let Λ_s be defined by conditions $(\Lambda_s, \alpha_i) = a_{N+1-i}(z_s) - a_{N+2-i}(z_s)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Let $\tilde{\Lambda}_\infty$ be defined by conditions $(\tilde{\Lambda}_\infty, \alpha_i) = a_i(\infty) - a_{i+1}(\infty),\ i = 1, \dots, N$.

Let $FL(V)$ be the variety of all full flags

$$\mathcal{F} \;=\; \{0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_{N+1} = V\}$$

in V. For any $\mathcal{F} \in FL(V)$ define an N-tuple of polynomials $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathcal{F}} = (y_1^{\mathcal{F}}, \dots, y_N^{\mathcal{F}})$ as follows. Let $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$ be a basis in V such that for any i the polynomials $u_1, \dots, u_i$ form a basis in F_i. Say that this *basis is adjusted* to the flag $\mathcal{F}$ and the flag $\mathcal{F}$ *is generated* by the basis $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$. We set

$$y_i^{\mathcal{F}} \;=\; W(u_1, \dots, u_i)\, T_1^{1-i}\, T_2^{2-i}\, \dots\, T_{i-1}^{-1}\ , \tag{5.4}$$

where polynomials $T_1, \dots, T_N$ are given by (3.2). The polynomials $y_1^{\mathcal{F}}, \dots, y_N^{\mathcal{F}}$ are uniquely determined by the flag $\mathcal{F}$ up to multiplication of polynomials by non-zero numbers.

This gives a morphism

$$\beta \;:\; FL(V) \;\to \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^N, \qquad \mathcal{F} \;\mapsto\; y^{\mathcal{F}}\ , \tag{5.5}$$

which will be called *the generating morphism of* V.

Theorem 5.12.

1. *The generating morphism defines an isomorphism of* $FL(V)$ *and its image* $\beta(FL(V)) \subset \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^N$.
2. *Let* O *be the orbit of* $\tilde{\Lambda}_\infty$ *with respect to the shifted action of the Weyl group. Then there exist a weight* $\Lambda_\infty \in O$ *and a critical point* $\boldsymbol{y}^0$ *of the master function* $\Phi(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, \Lambda_\infty)$, *such that* $\beta(FL(V))$ *is the population of critical points originated at* $\boldsymbol{y}^0$.
3. *The initial vector space* V *is the fundamental space of the population* $\beta(FL(V))$.
4. *Every* sl_{N+1}*-population of critical points is given by this construction starting from a suitable space* V.

Theorem 5.12 is proved in Section 5.7.

Theorem 5.12 and corollaries of Section 5.4 say that the sl_{N+1}-populations of critical points associated with prescribed highest weights at points $z_1, \dots z_n, \infty$ are in one-to-one correspondence with elements $V \in Gr(N+1, \mathbb{C}_d[x])$ with no base points and prescribed ramifications at $z_1, \dots, z_n, \infty$.

5.6. **The upper bound for the number of populations.** Let V be a space of polynomials of dimension $N+1$ with no base points. Let $z_1, \dots, z_n, \infty$ be its ramification points. There are three equivalent ways to discribe ramification properties of V:

- indicating ramification conditions $\boldsymbol{a}(z_1), \dots, \boldsymbol{a}(z_n), \boldsymbol{a}(\infty)$ of V in $\mathbb{C}_d[x]$, where d is large enough to have $V \subset \mathbb{C}_d[x]$,
- indicating exponents $\boldsymbol{m}(z_1), \dots, \boldsymbol{m}(z_n), \boldsymbol{m}(\infty)$ of the linear differentail equation $Du = 0$ with kernel V,
- indicating integral dominant sl_{N+1}-weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \Lambda_\infty$.

According to Sections 5.4 and 5.5 the relations between these data are

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda_s, \alpha_i) &= a_{N+1-i}(z_s) - a_{N+2-i}(z_s), & (\Lambda_\infty, \alpha_i) &= a_i(\infty) - a_{i+1}(\infty), \\ a_i(z_s) &= (\Lambda_s, \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{N+1-j}), & a_i(\infty) &= d - N - l_1 - (\Lambda_\infty, \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{i-1}), \\ m_i(z_s) &= a_{N+2-i} + i - 1, & m_i(\infty) &= d - N - a_i(\infty) + i - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Here $l_1 = (\sum_{s=1}^n \Lambda_s - \Lambda_\infty, \omega_1)$ where ω_1 is the first fundamental weight, $(\alpha_i, \omega_1) = \delta_{1i}$ for all i.

Denote L_Λ the irreducible sl_{N+1}-module with highest weight Λ. Let w be an element of the Weyl group. Combining Corollary 5.11 and Corollary 4.3 we get the following statement.

Theorem 5.13. *The number of sl_{N+1}-populations of critical points which contain a critical point of the master function $\Phi(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\Lambda},\ w \cdot \Lambda_\infty)$ is not greater than the multiplicity of the sl_{N+1}-module L_{Λ_∞} in the tensor product of sl_{N+1}-modules $L_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\Lambda_n}$.*

We expect that for almost all $z_1, \dots, z_n$ the number of populations indicated in Theorem 5.13 is equal to the above multiplicity.

5.7. Proof of Theorem 5.12.

Lemma 5.14. *The differential of β is non-degenerate at any point $\mathcal{F} \in FL(V)$.*

Proof. Let $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$ be a basis in V adjusted to $\mathcal{F}$. For $k = 1, \dots, N+1$, and a subset $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \subset \{1, \dots, N+1\}$, define a polynomial

$$u_{k,\boldsymbol{i}} \ = \ W(u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_k})\, T_1^{1-i}\, T_2^{2-i} \dots T_{i-1}^{-1} \ .$$

For a fixed k, the polynomials $u_{k,(1,2,\dots,k-1,j)}$, $j = k+1, \dots, N+1$, are linearly independent.

In the neighborhood of $\mathcal{F}$ in $FL(V)$, every flag is generated by a basis $\tilde u_1, \dots, \tilde u_{N+1}$ of the form $\tilde u_i = u_i + \sum_{j,\ j>i} c_{ij} u_j$. The coefficients c_{ij} are local coordinates on $FL(V)$ at $\mathcal{F}$.

Consider a curve $\mathcal{F}^s$ through $\mathcal{F}$, where the flag $\mathcal{F}^s$ is generated by a basis

$$\tilde u_i^s \ = \ u_i \ + \ s \sum_{j,\ j>i} c_{ij}\, u_j, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N+1 \ .$$

The tangent vector to this curve at $s = 0$ has coordinates $\{c_{ij}\}_{j>i}$. The image of the tangent vector under the differential of β is the non-zero vector

$$(\sum_{j>1} c_{1j}\ u_j\ ,\ \dots\ ,\ \sum_{j>k} c_{kj}\ u_{k,\ (1,\dots,k-1,j)}\ ,\ \dots\ ,\ c_{N,N+1}\ u_{N,\ (1,2,\dots N-1,N+1)}\ )\ .$$

□

Let $\mathcal{F} \in FL(V)$ and let $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$ be a basis in V adjusted to $\mathcal{F}$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and a non-zero number c, consider the flag

$$\mathcal{F}^{(i)} \ = \ \{0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots F_{i-1} \subset \tilde{F}_i \subset F_{i+1} \subset \dots \subset F_{N+1}\}$$

generated by the basis $u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, u_i + cu_{i+1}, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_{N+1}$.

Lemma 5.15. *The image of β consists of fertile N-tuples with respect to polynomials $T_1, \dots, T_N$. The N-tuple $\beta(\mathcal{F}^{(i)})$ is an immediate descendant of $\beta(\mathcal{F})$ in the direction i.*

Proof. We have $y_j^{\mathcal{F}^{(i)}} = y_j^{\mathcal{F}}$ for $j \neq i$ and

$$\begin{aligned} W(y_i^{\mathcal{F}},\ y_i^{\mathcal{F}^{(i)}}) \ &= \ c\, W(W(u_1, \dots, u_i),\ W(u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, u_{i+1}))\ (\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} T_j^{i-j})^2 \\ &= \ c\, W(u_1, \dots, u_{i-1})\ W(u_1, \dots, u_{i+1})\ (\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} T_j^{i-s})^2 \\ &= \ c\ T_i\ y_{i-1}^{\mathcal{F}}\ y_{i+1}^{\mathcal{F}}\ . \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.16. *The generating morphism β maps distinct points to distinct.*

Proof. If $\boldsymbol{y} = \beta(\mathcal{F}) = \beta(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$, then $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$. Indeed, let $\mathcal{F}$ be generated by $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ by $\tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_{N+1}$. Clearly, u_1 and $\tilde{u}_1$ are proportional. Since $W(u_1, u_2) = c\,T_1 y_2$ and $W(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2) = \tilde{c}\,T_1 y_2$ for suitable non-zero c and $\tilde{c}$, the span of u_1, u_2 is equal to the span of $\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2$, and so on. □

Part 1 of Theorem 5.12 is proved.

Proposition 5.17. *There exists $\mathcal{F} \in FL(V)$ such that $\beta(\mathcal{F})$ is generic with respect to integral dominant weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ of the Lie algebra sl_{N+1} and points $z_1, \dots, z_n$.*

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_N) \in \beta(FL(V))$. The image of β consists of fertile points. Hence if y_i has a multiple root, then the multiple root is either a root of y_{i-1} or a root of y_{i+1} or lies in $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$.

Lemma 5.18. *For almost all $\boldsymbol{y} \in \beta(FL(V))$ we have $y_i(z_s) \neq 0$ for all i and s.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} \in FL(V)$, $\mathcal{F} = \{0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_{N+1} = V\}$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, let D_i be a linear differential operator whose kernel is F_i. The operator D_i is defined up to multiplication by a function and is Fuchsian. Let $s \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. For almost all $\mathcal{F}$ and every i, the exponents of D_i at z_s are

$$0,\ (\Lambda_s + \rho,\ \alpha_1),\ (\Lambda_s + \rho,\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \dots, (\Lambda_s + \rho,\ \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{i-1})\ .$$

For such $\mathcal{F}$, clearly $y_1^{\mathcal{F}}, \dots, y_N^{\mathcal{F}}$ do not have roots at z_s. ☐

Lemma 5.19. *For every i and almost all $\boldsymbol{y} \in \beta(FL(V))$, the polynomials y_i and y_{i+1} do not have common roots.*

Proof. The set X_i of all $\mathcal{F} \in FL(V)$, such that $y_i^{\mathcal{F}}$ and $y_{i+1}^{\mathcal{F}}$ have common roots, is an algebraic subset of $FL(V)$. For every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the set of all $\mathcal{F} \in FL(V)$, such that $y_i^{\mathcal{F}}(z) = y_{i+1}^{\mathcal{F}}(z) = 0$, has codimension two in $FL(V)$. Hence X_i is a proper algebraic subset of $FL(V)$. ☐

The above lemmas prove the proposition. ☐

Let $\boldsymbol{y}^0 \in \beta(FL(V))$ be a generic point. By Corollary 3.3, $\boldsymbol{y}^0$ represents a critical point of an sl_{N+1}-master function, associated with integral dominant weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ (which are defined at the beginning of this section), suitable integral weight at infinity Λ_∞, complex numbers $z_1, \dots, z_n$.

Lemma 5.20. *The set $\beta(FL(V))$ is the population of critical points originated at $\boldsymbol{y}^0$. Moreover, the fundamental space of the population $\beta(FL(V))$ is the initial space V.*

Proof. Let P be the population of critical points originated at $\boldsymbol{y}^0$. Lemma 5.15 shows that P is contained in the image of β. It is easy to see that $P = \beta(FL(V))$. The second statement of the lemma directly follows from the definition of the generating morphism β. ☐

To prove part 2 of Theorem 5.12 it is enough to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.21. *The weight Λ_∞ lies in the orbit O of the weight $\tilde\Lambda_\infty$.*

Proof. By the definition in Section 3.3, the weight at infinity of an N-tuple $\bar{\boldsymbol{y}}$ with respect to weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ is $\bar\Lambda_\infty = \sum_{s=1}^n \Lambda_s - \sum_{i=1}^N \bar l_i \alpha_i$, with $\bar l_i = \deg \bar y_i$.

To prove the lemma it is enough to find $\bar{\boldsymbol{y}} \in \beta(FL(V))$ whose weight at infinity is $\tilde\Lambda_\infty$.

The space V has $\boldsymbol{a}(\infty)$ as the ramification index at infinity. Hence V has a basis of polynomials $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$ such that deg $u_i = d - N - a_i(\infty) + i - 1$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{F}} \in FL(V)$ be the flag generated by this basis and let $\bar{\boldsymbol{y}} = \beta(\bar{\mathcal{F}})$.

It is clear that for any $\boldsymbol{y} \in \beta(FL(V))$, we have deg $y_i \geq$ deg $\bar y_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Therefore by Theorem 3.12, the weight $\bar\Lambda_\infty = \sum_{s=1}^n \Lambda_s - \sum_{i=1}^N \bar l_i \alpha_i$, with $\bar l_i = \deg \bar y_i$, is the dominant weight lying in the orbit O.

We show that $\tilde\Lambda_\infty = \bar\Lambda_\infty$. Indeed, set $\tilde l_1 = d - N - a_1(\infty)$. Then, according to our definition of $\tilde\Lambda_\infty$, the exponents at infinity of V are given by the formula of Lemma 5.10.

At the same time, by Lemma 5.10, the exponents at infinity of V are

$$\bar{l}_1,\ \bar{l}_1+(\bar{\Lambda}_\infty+\rho,\ \alpha_1),\ \bar{l}_1+(\bar{\Lambda}_\infty+\rho,\ \alpha_1+\alpha_2),\ \dots,\ \bar{l}_1+(\bar{\Lambda}_\infty+\rho,\ \alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_N)\ .$$

Comparing the two formulas, we get $\tilde{\Lambda}_\infty=\bar{\Lambda}_\infty$. □

The previous lemmas prove parts 2 and 3 of Theorem 5.12. Part 4 of the theorem follows from Lemma 5.5. Theorem 5.12 is proved.

5.8. **Populations and Bruhat cells.** Let V be a complex vector space of dimension $N+1$. Fix a full flag $\mathcal{F}^0\in FL(V)$. Then there is a Schubert-type cell decomposition of the variety $FL(V)$ of full flags in V.

For any $\mathcal{F}\in FL(V)$ define a permutation $w(\mathcal{F})$ in the symmetric group S^{N+1} as follows. Define $w_1(\mathcal{F})$ as the minimum of i such that $F_1\subset F^0_i$. Fix a basis vector $u_1\in F_1$. Define $w_2(\mathcal{F})$ as the minimum of i such that there is a basis in F_2 of the form u_1,u_2 with $u_2\in F^0_i$. Assume that $w_1(\mathcal{F}),\dots,w_j(\mathcal{F})$ and $u_1,\dots,u_j$ are determined. Define $w_{j+1}(\mathcal{F})$ as the minimum of i such that there is a basis in F_{j+1} of the form $u_1,\dots,u_j,u_{j+1}$ with $u_{j+1}\in F^0_i$. As a result of this procedure we define $w(\mathcal{F})=(w_1(\mathcal{F}),\dots,w_{N+1}(\mathcal{F}))\ \in S^{N+1}$ and a basis $u_1,\dots,u_{N+1}$ which generates $\mathcal{F}$ and such that $u_i\in F^0_{w_i(\mathcal{F})}$.

For $w\in S^{N+1}$, define

$$G^{\mathcal{F}^0}_w\ =\ \{\ \mathcal{F}\in FL(V)\ ,\ w(\mathcal{F})\ =\ w\ \}\ .$$

The algebraic variety $G^{\mathcal{F}^0}_w$ is called *the Bruhat cell* associated with $\mathcal{F}^0$ and $w\in S^{N+1}$. The set of all Bruhat cells form a cell decomposition of $FL(V)$:

$$FL(V)=\sqcup_{w\in S^{N+1}}G^{\mathcal{F}^0}_w\ .$$

Let $V\in Gr(N+1,\mathbb{C}_d[x])$ be an $N+1$-dimensional space of polynomials with ramification points $z_1,\dots,z_n,\infty$ and ramification conditions $\boldsymbol{a}(z_1),\dots,\boldsymbol{a}(z_n),\boldsymbol{a}(\infty)$. Let $\Lambda_1,\dots\Lambda_n,\tilde{\Lambda}_\infty$ be the corresponding integral dominant sl_{N+1}-weights.

The space V has a distinguished flag $\mathcal{F}^\infty\in FL(V)$, where F^∞_i consists of all polynomials in V of degree not greater than $d-N-a_i(\infty)+i-1$. Then the variety $FL(V)$ has the corresponding Bruhat cell decomposition $FL(V)=\sqcup_{w\in S^{N+1}}G^{\mathcal{F}^\infty}_w$.

For any N-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}$ of polynomials, introduce a vector $\boldsymbol{l}(\boldsymbol{y})=(l_1(\boldsymbol{y}),\dots,l_N(\boldsymbol{y}))$, where $l_i(\boldsymbol{y})=\deg y_i$.

Recall that the generating morphism $\beta: FL(V)\to\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^N$ is given by (5.5). By definition, any two flags F_1 and F_2 belong to the same Bruhat cell $G^{\mathcal{F}^\infty}_w$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{l}(\beta(F_1))=\boldsymbol{l}(\beta(F_2))$. Set $\boldsymbol{l}^w=\boldsymbol{l}(\beta(F))$ for $F\in G^{\mathcal{F}^\infty}_w$.

We identify the Weyl group W of sl_{N+1} with the symmetric group S^{N+1} sending the generating reflections s_i to the simple transpositions $(i,i+1)$.

Lemma 5.22. *For $w\in S^{N+1}$ we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N l^w_i\ \alpha_i\ =\ \sum_{s=1}^n\Lambda_s\ -\ w\cdot\Lambda_\infty\ .$$

Proof. Denote $d_i = d - N - a_i(\infty) + i - 1$. For any permutation $w \in S^{N+1}$ and any i, we have the equation

$$(w \cdot \Lambda_\infty \ , \ \alpha_i) \ = \ d_{w_{i+1}} \ - \ d_{w_i} \ - \ 1,$$

which can be checked by induction on the length of the permutation w.

Also if $w = w(\mathcal{F})$ is the permutation of a flag $\mathcal{F} \in FL(V)$, then $\mathcal{F}$ has an adjusted basis $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$ such that $\deg u_i = d_{w_i}$ for all i. Therefore the vector $\boldsymbol{l}^w$ has coordinates

$$l_i \ = \ \sum_{j=1}^{i} d_{w_j} \ - \ \sum_{s=1}^{n} (\Lambda_s + \rho, \ (i-1)\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{i-1}) \ .$$

Combining the two equations we get for any i an equation

$$2l_i^w - l_{i-1}^w - l_{i+1}^w \ = \ d_{w_i} - d_{w_{i+1}} + (\sum_{s=1}^{n} \Lambda_s + \rho, \alpha_i) \ = \ (\sum \Lambda_s - w \cdot \Lambda_\infty, \alpha_i),$$

which is equivalent to the identity of the lemma. □

Let $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n, \ \tilde{\Lambda}_\infty$ be integral dominant sl_{N+1}-weights, $z_1, \dots, z_n$ complex numbers. Let $w \in S^{N+1}$. Let $\boldsymbol{y}$ represent a critical point of the master function $\Phi(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, w \cdot \tilde{\Lambda}_\infty)$ and P the population originated at $\boldsymbol{y}$. Let $\beta : FL(V_P) \to \beta(FL({}_P))$ be the generating isomorphism.

Let $\mathbb{C}_d^0[x]$ be the affine space of monic polynomials of degree d. Then $\boldsymbol{y}$ determines a point in $\mathbb{C}^0_{l_1^w}[x] \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^0_{l_N^w}[x]$.

Corollary 5.23. *The closure in* $\mathbb{C}^0_{l_1^w}[x] \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^0_{l_N^w}[x]$ *of* N*-tuples of polynomials in the population* P *representing critical points of the master function* $\Phi(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\Lambda}, w \cdot \tilde{\Lambda}_\infty)$ *is isomorphic to the Bruhat cell* $\beta(G_w^{\mathcal{F}^\infty}) \ \subset \ \beta(FL(V_P))$.

6. Selfdual spaces of polynomials

6.1. **Dual spaces of polynomials.** Let V be a vector space of polynomials of dimension $N+1$ with no base points and $D = \partial^{N+1} + \dots$ the linear differential operator with kernel V. Let $z_1, \dots, z_n, \infty$ be its singular points. Let the exponents at z_s and ∞ be denoted

$$0, \ m_1^{(s)} + 1, \ m_1^{(s)} + m_2^{(s)} + 2, \ \dots, \ m_1^{(s)} + \dots + m_N^{(s)} + N \ ,$$

$$l, \ l + m_1^{(\infty)} + 1, \ l + m_1^{(\infty)} + m_2^{(\infty)} + 2, \ \dots, \ l + m_1^{(\infty)} + \dots + m_N^{(\infty)} + N \ ,$$

respectively. Set

$$T_i \ = \ \prod_{s=1}^{n} (x - z_s)^{m_i^{(s)}} \ , \qquad i = 1, \dots, N \ . \tag{6.1}$$

For $u_1, \dots, u_i \in V$, define a polynomial

$$W^\dagger(u_1, \dots, u_i) \ = \ W(u_1, \dots, u_i) \ \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} T_j^{j-i} \ ,$$

the divided Wronskian with respect to V. Let $\Lambda^i(V)$ be the i-th exterior power of V. The linear map

$$\Lambda^i(V) \ \to\ \mathbb{C}[x]\ , \qquad u_1\wedge\dots\wedge u_i \ \mapsto\ W^\dagger(u_1,\dots,u_i)\ ,$$

is an immersion for $i \ =\ N,\ N+1$. The map sends $\Lambda^{N+1}(V)$ to $\mathbb{C}$. Denote $V^\dagger$ the image of $\Lambda^N(V)$. There is a non-degenerate pairing

$$V\otimes V^\dagger \ \to\ \mathbb{C}\ , \qquad u\otimes W^\dagger(u_1,\dots,u_N)\ \mapsto\ W^\dagger(u,u_1,\dots,u_N)\ .$$

Lemma 6.1. *We have* $V^{\dagger\dagger}=V$.

Proof. Follows from Lemma 9.5 ☐

Let $D^\dagger=\partial^{N+1}+\dots$ be the linear differential operator with kernel $V^\dagger$.

Lemma 6.2. *The equation* $D^\dagger u=0$ *has singular points* $z_1,\dots,z_n,\infty$. *The exponents at* z_s *and infinity are*

$$0,\ m_N^{(s)}+1,\ m_N^{(s)}+m_{N-1}^{(s)}+2,\ \dots,\ m_N^{(s)}+\dots+m_1^{(s)}+N\ ,$$
$$l,\ l+m_N^{(\infty)}+1,\ l+m_N^{(\infty)}+m_{N-1}^{(\infty)}+2,\ \dots,\ l+m_N^{(\infty)}+\dots+m_1^{(\infty)}+N\ ,$$

respectively. ☐

Lemma 6.3. *Let* $D=D_1\dots D_{N+1}$ *be a factorization of* D *to linear factors,* $D_i=\partial+f_i$, *then* $D^\dagger=D_{N+1}\dots D_1$. ☐

6.2. **Selfdual spaces and Witt bases.** A space of polynomials V is called *selfdual* if $V=V^\dagger$. For instance, the space of polynomials of degree not greater than N is selfdual. In this case all polynomials T_i are equal to 1.

Let V be selfdual. Then $m_i^{(s)}=m_{N+1-i}^{(s)}$ and $m_i^{(\infty)}=m_{N+1-i}^{(\infty)}$ for all s and i. There is a non-degenerate pairing

$$(\ ,\ )\ :\ V\otimes V\ \to\ \mathbb{C}\ .$$

If $u,v\in V$, then we write $v=W^\dagger(u_1,\dots,u_N)$ and set $(u,v)\ =\ W^\dagger(u,u_1,\dots,u_N)$. This pairing is called *the canonical bilinear form.*

A basis $u_1,\dots,u_{N+1}$ in a space of polynomials V is called *a Witt basis* if

$$u_i\ =\ W^\dagger(u_1,\dots,\widehat{u}_{N+2-i},\dots,u_{N+1}),\qquad i\ =\ 1,\dots,N+1. \tag{6.2}$$

Theorem 6.4. *Let* V *be selfdual. Then* V *has a Witt basis.*

Corollary 6.5. *Let* V *be selfdual. Then the non-degenerate form* $(\ ,\ )\ :\ V\otimes V\ \to\ \mathbb{C}$ *is symmetric, if* $\dim V$ *is odd, and skew-symmetric, if* $\dim V$ *is even.*

Proof of Theorem 6.4 Let $d_1>d_2>\dots>d_{N+1}$ be degrees of polynomials in V, where $d_i=l+m_1^{(\infty)}+\dots+m_{N+1-i}^{(\infty)}+N+1-i$ in the notations of the previous section. Let $p_1,\dots,p_{N+1}$ be monic polynomials in V, $\deg p_i=d_i$. Denote $D_i=\prod(d_k-d_j)$ where the product is over all k,j such that $k<j$ and both k and j are not equal to i. We have $D_i=D_{N+2-i}$ since V is selfdual.

Lemma 6.6. *For $i = 1, \dots, N+1$, we have*

$$W^\dagger(p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}, p_{i+1}, \dots, p_{N+1}) \;=\; D_i\; x^{d_{N+2-i}} \;+\; \dots\;,$$

where dots denote the lower degree terms. $\square$

Introduce $q_i \;=\; D_i^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} D_j^{\frac{1}{2N-2}}\, p_i$. Then for $i = 1, \dots, N+1$, we have

$$W^\dagger(q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_{N+1}) \;=\; q_{N+2-i} \;+\; \sum_{j=N+3-i}^{N+1} a^i_j\; q_j$$

for suitable numbers a^i_j. Adding to each q_i polynomials $q_{i+1}, \dots, q_{N+1}$ with suitable coefficients we can get new polynomials $q_1, \dots, q_{N+1}$ such that

$$W^\dagger(q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_{N+1}) \;=\; q_{N+2-i} \tag{6.3}$$

for $i \;\le\; \frac{N}{2} + 1$, and

$$W^\dagger(q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_{N+1}) \;=\; q_{N+2-i} \;+\; \sum_{j=N+3-i}^{i} a^i_j\; q_j \tag{6.4}$$

for $i \;>\; \frac{N}{2} + 1$.

Lemma 6.7. *If the polynomials $q_1, \dots, q_{N+1}$ satisfy (6.3) for $i \;\le\; \frac{N}{2} + 1$ and (6.4) for $i \;>\; \frac{N}{2} + 1$, then they satisfy (6.3) for all i.*

Proof. First let $N+1 = 2k$ be even. Assume that we already showed that for some $i_0 \ge\; k$ the polynomials $q_1, \dots, q_{N+1}$ satisfy (6.3) for all $i \;<\; i_0$. We prove (6.3) for $i = i_0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &W^\dagger(q_1, \dots, q_{i_0-1}, q_{i_0+1}, \dots, q_{N+1}) = \\ &\qquad = q_{N+2-i_0} + a^{i_0}_{N+3-i_0} q_{N+3-i_0} + \dots + a^{i_0}_{i_0+1} q_{i_0+1} + a^{i_0}_{i_0} q_{i_0}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $a^{i_0}_{N+3-i_0} = \dots = a^{i_0}_k = a^{i_0}_{k+1} = \dots = a^{i_0}_{i_0+1} = 0$.

Set $W_i = W^\dagger(q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_{N+1})$. We calculate $X = W^\dagger(W_1, \dots, W_{N+1-i_0}, W_{i_0}, W_{N+3-i_0}, \dots, W_k)$ in two ways. According to our assumptions we have

$$X \;=\; W^\dagger(q_{N+1}, q_N, \dots, q_{i_0+1},\; q_{N+2-i_0} + \sum_{j=N+3-i_0}^{i_0} a^{i_0}_j q_j,\; q_{i_0-1}, \dots, q_{k+1})\;.$$

Notice that polynomials $W^\dagger(q_{N+1}, q_N, \dots, q_{i_0+1}, q_j, q_{i_0-1}, \dots, q_{k+1})$, for $j = i_0$ and $j = N+2-i_0, \dots, k$, are non-zero, have different degrees and hence are linearly independent.

On the other hand, by Lemma 9.5, we have

$$X \;=\; \text{const}\; W^\dagger(q_{N+1}, q_N, \dots, q_{i_0+1}, q_{N+2-i_0}, q_{i_0-1}, \dots, q_{k+1})\;.$$

Thus $a^{i_0}_{i_0} = a^{i_0}_{N+3-i_0} = \dots = a^{i_0}_k = 0$.

Similarly calculating in two ways the expression $X = W^\dagger(W_1, \dots, W_{N+1-i_0}, W_{i_0}, W_{k+1}, W_{k+2}, \dots, W_{i_0-1})$ we conclude that $a^{i_0}_{k+1} = \dots = a^{i_0}_{i_0-1} = 0$. Lemma 6.7 is proved for even $N+1$.

Let $N+1 = 2k+1$ be odd. Assume that we already showed that for some $i_0 \geq k$ the polynomials $q_1, \dots, q_{N+1}$ satisfy (6.3) for all $i < i_0$. We prove (6.3) for $i = i_0$.

We calculate $X = W^\dagger(W_1, \dots, W_k, W_{i_0})$ in two ways. According to our assumptions we have

$$X = W^\dagger(q_{N+1}, q_N, \dots, q_{k+2},\ q_{N+2-i_0} + \sum_{j=N+3-i_0}^{i_0} a^{i_0}_j q_j) \ .$$

The polynomials $W^\dagger(q_{N+1}, q_N, \dots, q_{k+1}, q_j)$ for $j = N+2-i_0, \dots, k+1$ are non-zero, have different degrees and hence are linearly independent.

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \text{const}\ W^\dagger(q_{k+1}, \dots, q_{i_0-1}, q_{i_0+1}, \dots, q_{N+1}) \\ &= W^\dagger(W_{k-1}, \dots, W_{N+3-i_0}, W_{N+1-i_0}, \dots, W_1) \\ &= \text{const}\ W^\dagger(q_{N+2-i_0}, q_{k+1}, \dots, q_{N+1}) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus $a^{i_0}_{N+3-i_0} = \dots = a^{i_0}_{k+1} = 0$.

Similarly calculating in two ways the expression

$$X = W^\dagger(W_1, W_2, \dots, W_{N+2-i_0}, W_{k+2}, W_{k+3}, \dots, W_{i_0})$$

we show that $a^{i_0}_{k+2} = \dots = a^{i_0}_{i_0} = 0$. Lemma 6.7 is proved for odd $N+1$. □

Theorem 6.4 is proved. □

6.3. **Isotropic flags.**

Let $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$ be a basis in a vector space V of polynomials. Denote $W_i = W^\dagger(u_1, \dots, \widehat{u}_i, \dots, u_{N+1})$.

Theorem 6.8. *Assume that*

$$W^\dagger(u_1, \dots, u_i) = a_i\, W^\dagger(u_1, \dots, u_{N+1-i}), \qquad i = 1, \dots, N, \tag{6.5}$$

for some non-zero numbers $a_1, \dots, a_N$. Then for every i, the polynomial u_i is a linear combination of $W_{N+1}, W_N, \dots, W_{N+2-i}$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on i. For $i = 1$, the polynomial u_1 is proportional to W_{N+1} by assumption. Let the theorem be proved for $i = 1, \dots, i_0 - 1$. Then using Lemma 9.5 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W^\dagger(u_1, \dots, u_{i_0-1}, W_{N+2-i_0}) &= \text{const}\ W^\dagger(W_{N+1}, W_N, \dots, W_{N+2-i_0}) \\ &= \text{const}\ W^\dagger(u_1, \dots, u_{N+1-i_0})\ (W^\dagger(u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}))^{i_0-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $W^\dagger(u_1, \dots, u_{N+1})$ is a constant. Therefore using (6.5) we obtain

$$W^\dagger(u_1, \dots, u_{i_0-1}, W_{N+2-i_0}) = \text{const}\ W^\dagger(u_1, \dots, u_{i_0}).$$

Hence W_{N+2-i_0} is a linear combination of $u_1, \dots, u_{i_0}$ and the theorem is proved. □

Corollary 6.9. *If V has a basis satisfying (6.5), then V is selfdual.*

Let V be a selfdual space of polynomials. For a subspace $U \subset V$ denote $U^\perp$ its orthogonal complement, $(U, U^\perp) = 0$, $\dim U + \dim U^\perp = \dim V$. A full flag $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_{N+1} = V\}$ is called *isotropic* if $F_i^\perp = F_{N+1-i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Corollary 6.10. *Let $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$ be a basis in V satisfying (6.5), then the full flag generated by the basis is isotropic.*

Lemma 6.11. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an isotropic flag in V and $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$ a basis in V adjusted to $\mathcal{F}$. Then the basis satisfies (6.5).*

Proof. Since $F_i^\perp = F_{N+1-i}$, we have two bases in F_{N+1-i}: the basis $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1-i}$ and the basis $W_{N+1}, W_N, \dots, W_{i+1}$. Hence

$$W^\dagger(u_1, \dots, u_{N+1-i}) \;=\; \text{const}\; W^\dagger(W_{N+1}, W_N, \dots, W_{i+1}) \;=\; \text{const}\; W^\dagger(u_1, \dots, u_i)\ .$$

□

6.4. **Generating procedures for isotropic flags.** Let V be a selfdual space of polynomials. Let $FL(V)$ be the variety of all full flags of V. Denote $FL^\perp(V) \subset FL(V)$ the subvariety of all isotropic flags.

Let $\beta : FL(V) \to \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^N$, $\mathcal{F} \mapsto y^{\mathcal{F}}$, be the generating isomorphism associated with V, see Section 5.5. Remind that if $u_1, \dots, u_{N+1}$ is a basis adjusted to a flag $\mathcal{F} \in FL(V)$, then $\boldsymbol{y}^{\mathcal{F}} = (y_1^{\mathcal{F}}, \dots, y_N^{\mathcal{F}})$, where $y_i^{\mathcal{F}} = W^\dagger(u_1, \dots, u_i)$. According to Section 6.3, a flag $\mathcal{F}$ is isotropic if and only its image under the generating isomorphism is symmetric:

$$y_i^{\mathcal{F}} \;=\; y_{N+1-i}^{\mathcal{F}} \qquad \text{for} \qquad i = 1, \dots, N\ . \tag{6.6}$$

The group of linear transformations of V preserving the scalar product $(\ ,\ )$ acts on the space of isotropic flags $FL^\perp(V)$. We describe the infinitesimal action of this group on the image $\beta(FL^\perp(V))$.

First we assume that $N + 1 = 2k$ is even. In this case the scalar product $(\ ,\ )$ is skew-symmetric. The special symplectic Lie algebra of V consists of all traceless endomorphisms x of V such that $(xv, v') + (v, xv') = 0$ for all $v, v' \in V$.

Let $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_{2k})$ be a Witt basis in V. We have

$$(u_i\ ,\ u_{2k+1-i}) \;=\; (-1)^{i+1}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

and $(u_i, u_j) = 0$ if $i + j \neq 2k + 1$. The choice of the basis identifies the special symplectic Lie algebra with a Lie subalgebra of sl_{2k}, which is denoted sp_{2k}. The Lie algebra sp_{2k} has the root system of type C_k.

Denote $E_{i,j}$ the matrix with zero entries except 1 at the intersection of the i-th row and j-th column.

The lower triangular part of sp_{2k} is spanned by matrices $E_{i,i+1} + E_{2k-i,2k+1-i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ and $E_{k,k+1}$. Denote these matrices $X_1, \dots, X_k$, respectively. The matrices define linear transformations of V.

For any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$, the basis $e^{cX_i}\boldsymbol{u}$ is a Witt basis. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the isotropic flag generated by $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $e^{cX_i}\mathcal{F}$ the isotropic flag generated by $e^{cX_i}\boldsymbol{u}$. We describe the dependence on c of the $2k-1$-tuple $\beta(e^{cX_i}\mathcal{F})$.

For $i < k$, we have

$$e^{cX_i}\boldsymbol{u} \;=\; (u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, u_i + cu_{i+1}, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_{2k-i}, u_{2k+1-i} + cu_{2k+2-i}, u_{2k+2-i}, \dots, u_{2k})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\beta(e^{cX_i}\mathcal{F}) = \\ &\quad = (y_1^{\mathcal{F}}, \dots, y_{i-1}^{\mathcal{F}}, y_i(x,c), y_{i+1}^{\mathcal{F}}, \dots, y_{k-1}^{\mathcal{F}}, y_k^{\mathcal{F}}, y_{k-1}^{\mathcal{F}}, \dots, y_{i+1}^{\mathcal{F}}, y_i(x,c), y_{i-1}^{\mathcal{F}}, \dots, y_1^{\mathcal{F}}),\end{aligned}$$

with $y_i(x,c) = W^{\dagger}(u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, u_i + cu_{i+1})$.

Lemma 6.12. *For $i < k$, we have*

$$W(y_i(x,c), \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial c}(x,c)) \;=\; T_i\; y_{i-1}^{\mathcal{F}}\; y_{i+1}^{\mathcal{F}}\ .$$

□

For $i = k$, we have

$$e^{cX_i}\boldsymbol{u} \;=\; (u_1,\ \dots,\ u_{k-1},\ u_k + cu_{k+1},\ u_{k+1},\ \dots,\ u_{2k})$$

and

$$\beta(e^{cX_i}\mathcal{F}) \;=\; (y_1^{\mathcal{F}}, \dots,\ y_{k-1}^{\mathcal{F}},\ y_k(x,c),\ y_{k-1}^{\mathcal{F}},\ \dots, y_1^{\mathcal{F}})$$

with $y_k(x,c) \;=\; W^{\dagger}(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u_k + cu_{k+1})$.

Lemma 6.13. *We have*

$$W(y_k(x,c), \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial c}(x,c)) \;=\; T_k\; (y_{k-1}^{\mathcal{F}})^2\ .$$

□

Notice that in Lemmas 6.12 and 6.13 the function $\frac{\partial y_k}{\partial c}(x,c)$ does not depend on c.

Now we assume that $N+1 = 2k+1$ is odd. In this case the scalar product $(\ ,\ )$ is symmetric. The special orthogonal Lie algebra of V consists of all traceless endomorphisms x of V such that $(xv, v') + (v, xv') = 0$ for all $v, v' \in V$.

Let $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_{2k+1})$ be a Witt basis in V. We have

$$(u_i\ ,\ u_{2k+2-i}) \;=\; (-1)^{i+1}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, k+1,$$

and $(u_i, u_j) = 0$ if $i + j \neq 2k+2$. The choice of the basis identifies the special orthogonal Lie algebra with a Lie subalgebra of sl_{2k+1}, which is denoted so_{2k+1}. The Lie algebra so_{2k+1} has the root system of type B_k.

The lower triangular part of so_{2k+1} is spanned by matrices $E_{i,i+1} + E_{2k+1-i,2k+2-i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ and $E_{k,k+1} + E_{k+1,k+2}$. Denote these matrices $X_1, \dots, X_k$, respectively. The matrices define linear transformations of V.

For any $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$, the basis $e^{cX_i}\boldsymbol{u}$ is a Witt basis. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the isotropic flag generated by $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $e^{cX_i}\mathcal{F}$ the isotropic flag generated by $e^{cX_i}\boldsymbol{u}$. We describe the dependence on c of the $2k$-tuple $\beta(e^{cX_i}\mathcal{F})$.

For $i < k$, we have

$$e^{cX_i}\boldsymbol{u} \; = \; (u_1, \ldots, u_{i-1}, u_i + cu_{i+1}, u_{i+1}, \ldots, u_{2k+1-i}, u_{2k+2-i} + cu_{2k+3-i}, u_{2k+3-i}, \ldots, u_{2k+1})$$

and

$$\beta(e^{cX_i}\mathcal{F}) = \\ = (y_1^{\mathcal{F}}, \ldots, y_{i-1}^{\mathcal{F}}, y_i(x,c), y_{i+1}^{\mathcal{F}}, \ldots, y_k^{\mathcal{F}}, y_k^{\mathcal{F}}, \ldots, y_{i+1}^{\mathcal{F}}, y_i(x,c), y_{i-1}^{\mathcal{F}}, \ldots, y_1^{\mathcal{F}}),$$

with $y_i(x,c) = W^\dagger(u_1, \ldots, u_{i-1}, u_i + cu_{i+1})$.

Lemma 6.14. *For $i < k$ we have*

$$W(y_i(x,c), \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial c}(x,c)) \; = \; T_i \; y_{i-1}^{\mathcal{F}} \; y_{i+1}^{\mathcal{F}} \; .$$

□

For $i = k$, we have

$$e^{cX_i}\boldsymbol{u} \; = \; (u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}, \; u_k + cu_{k+1} + \frac{c^2}{2}u_{k+2}, \; u_{k+1} + cu_{k+2}, \; u_{k+2}, \ldots, u_{2k+1})$$

and

$$\beta(e^{cX_i}\mathcal{F}) \; = \; (y_1^{\mathcal{F}}, \; \ldots, \; y_{k-1}^{\mathcal{F}}, \; y_k(x,c), \; y_k(x,c), \; y_{k-1}^{\mathcal{F}}, \; \ldots, \; y_1^{\mathcal{F}})$$

with $y_k(x,c) \; = \; W^\dagger(u_1, \ldots, u_{k-1}, u_k + cu_{k+1} + \frac{c^2}{2}u_{k+2})$.

Lemma 6.15. *We have*

$$W(y_k(x,c), \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial c}(x,c)) \; = \; T_k \; y_{k-1}^{\mathcal{F}} \; y_k(x,c) \; . \tag{6.7}$$

□

Lemma 6.16. *Assume that the two polynomials $y_k^{\mathcal{F}}$ and $T_k \, y_{k-1}^{\mathcal{F}}$ do not have common roots. Then $y_k^{\mathcal{F}} = p^2$, $y_k(x,c) = (p + cq)^2$ for suitable polynomials $p(x)$, $q(x)$ and*

$$W(p \; , \; q) \; = \; 2 \; T_k \; y_{k-1}^{\mathcal{F}} \; . \tag{6.8}$$

Proof. The polynomial $y_k(x,c)$ is a polynomial in x and c, quadratic in c. We claim that y_k cannot be of the form $p(x)q(x,c)$ where p is a polynomial in x of positive degree and q is a polynomial in x, c. Indeed, in this case equation (6.7) would imply that the roots of p lie among the roots of $T_k \, y_{k-1}^{\mathcal{F}}$.

We claim that y_k cannot be irreducible. Indeed, then there would exist $(z_0, c_0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and a germ of a holomorphic function $f : (\mathbb{C}, c_0) \to (\mathbb{C}, z_0)$ such that in a neighborhood of (z_0, c_0) the polynomial y_k would be presentable in the form $y_k = (x - f(c))g(x,c)$ with $g(z_0, c_0) \neq 0$. But this presentation would contradict to (6.7), because its left hand side and right hand side would be having different orders of zero with respect to x at $x = f(c)$.

The same reason shows that y_k cannot be presented in the form $p(x,c)q(x,c)$ with polynomials p, q not proportional. Thus $y_k = (p(x) + cq(x))^2$ for suitable polynomials p and q. Substituting this expression to (6.7) we get (6.8). □

7. THE CASE OF ROOT SYSTEMS OF TYPE B_N AND C_N

7.1. **Critical points of type B_N.** Consider the root system of type B_N. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}$ be the long simple roots and α_N the short one. We have

$$(\alpha_N, \alpha_N) \ = \ 2, \qquad (\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \ = \ 4, \qquad (\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}) \ = \ -2, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N-1,$$

and all other scalar products are equal to zero. The root system B_N corresponds to the Lie algebra so_{2N+1}. We denote $\mathfrak{h}$ its Cartan subalgebra.

We consider also the root system of type A_{2N-1} with simple roots $\alpha_1^A, \dots, \alpha_{2N-1}^A$. The root system A_{2N-1} corresponds to the Lie algebra sl_{2N}. We denote $\mathfrak{h}_A$ its Cartan subalgebra.

We have a map $\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathfrak{h}_A^*$, $\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda^A$, where Λ^A is defined by

$$\langle \Lambda^A \ , \ (\alpha_i^A)^\vee \rangle \ = \ \langle \Lambda^A \ , \ (\alpha_{2N-i}^A)^\vee \rangle \ = \ \langle \Lambda \ , \ (\alpha_i)^\vee \rangle, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Let $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be dominant integral so_{2N+1}-weights, $z_1, \dots, z_n$ complex numbers. Let the polynomials $T_1, \dots, T_N$ be given by (3.2). Remind that an N-tuple of polynomials $\boldsymbol{y}$ represents a critical point of a master function associated with so_{2N+1}, $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, $z_1, \dots, z_n$, if and only if $\boldsymbol{y}$ is generic with respect to weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ of so_{2N+1}, and points $z_1, \dots z_n$ and there exist polynomials $\tilde{y}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} W(y_i \ , \ \tilde{y}_i) \ &= \ T_i \ y_{i-1} \ y_{i+1}, \qquad i \ = \ 1, \dots, N-1, \\ W(y_N \ , \ \tilde{y}_N) \ &= \ T_N \ y_{N-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For an N-tuple of polynomials $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$, let $\boldsymbol{y}_A$ be the $2N-1$-tuple of polynomials $(y_1, \dots, y_{N-1}, y_N, y_{N-1}, \dots, y_1)$.

Lemma 7.1. *An N-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}$ represents a critical point of a master function associated with so_{2N+1}, $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, $z_1, \dots, z_n$, if and only if the $2N-1$-tuple of polynomials $\boldsymbol{y}_A$ represents a critical point of a master function associated with sl_{2N}, $\Lambda_1^A, \dots, \Lambda_n^A$, $z_1, \dots, z_n$.* □

Let $\boldsymbol{y}^0$ represent a critical point of a master function associated with so_{2N+1}, $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, $z_1, \dots, z_n$. Denote P the so_{2N+1}-population of critical points originated at $\boldsymbol{y}^0$. Denote P^A the sl_{2N}-population of critical points originated at $\boldsymbol{y}_A^0$. Clearly there is an injective map $P \to P^A$, $\boldsymbol{y} \mapsto \boldsymbol{y}_A$.

Theorem 7.2. *The fundamental space of P^A is selfdual.*

Proof. Denote V the fundamental space of P^A. Let $u_1, \dots, u_{2N}$ be a basis in V constructed using $\boldsymbol{y}_A$ as in Section 5.3. By Lemma 5.5 we have

$$W^\dagger(u_1, \dots, u_i) \ = \ W^\dagger(u_1, \dots, u_{2N-i}), \qquad i \ = \ 0, \dots, 2N.$$

The theorem follows from Corollary 6.9. □

The fundamental space of P^A is called *the fundamental space* of the so_{2N+1}-population P and denoted V_P. We have $\dim V_P = 2N$.

Theorem 7.3. *The generating morphism $\beta : FL(V_P) \to \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^{2N-1}$ identifies the subvariety $FL^{\perp}(V_P)$ of flags in V_P, isotropic with respect to the skew-symmetric form (,), and the so_{2N+1}-population P.*

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{y} = \beta(\mathcal{F})$ be in P, where $\mathcal{F}$ is some isotropic flag. Then the N-tuples $\beta(e^{cX_i}\mathcal{F}) = (y_1(x), \dots, y_i(x,c), \dots, y_N(x))$ are the immediate descendants of $\boldsymbol{y}$ in the i-th direction. Indeed, this follows from Lemmas 6.12 and 6.13 with $c = 0$ since we have $y_i(x,c) = y_i + c\partial y_i/\partial c(x,0)$.

Therefore the generating procedures for the so_{2N+1}-population P coincide with the generating procedure for the variety of full flags in the fundamental space V_P isotropic with respect to the skew-symmetric form (,). ☐

The Lie algebra so_{2N+1} is Langlands dual to the Lie algebra sp_{2N}. According to the corollary, a population of critical points associated with so_{2N+1} is isomorphic to the full flag variety of its Langlands dual sp_{2N}.

Let P be an so_{2N+1}-population associated with integral dominant weights $\Lambda_1, \dots \Lambda_n$ and points $z_1, \dots, z_n$. Let $\boldsymbol{y} \in P$. Introduce a linear differential operator $D(\boldsymbol{y})$ of order $2N$ by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} D(\boldsymbol{y}) \quad = \quad & (\partial - \ln'(\frac{T_1^2 \dots T_{N-1}^2 T_N}{y_1}))(\partial - \ln'(\frac{y_1 T_1^2 \dots T_{N-1}^2 T_N}{y_2 T_1})) \\ & (\partial - \ln'(\frac{y_2 T_1^2 \dots T_{N-1}^2 T_N}{y_3 T_1 T_2})) \ \dots \ (\partial - \ln'(\frac{y_{N-1} T_1 \dots T_{N-1} T_N}{y_N})) \\ & (\partial - \ln'(\frac{y_N T_1 \dots T_{N-1}}{y_{N-1}}))(\partial - \ln'(\frac{y_{N-1} T_1 \dots T_{N-2}}{y_{N-2}})) \dots (\partial - \ln'(y_1)) \end{aligned}$$

where $T_1, \dots T_N$ are as above.

Corollary 7.4. *The operator $D(\boldsymbol{y})$ does not depend on the choice of $\boldsymbol{y}$ in P. Its kernel is the fundamental space V_P.*

The operator is called *associated with the population* and is denoted D_P.

By Theorem 7.3 any so_{2N+1}-population is isomorphic to the variety of isotropic flags of the fundamental space of the population. The converse is also true.

Theorem 7.5. *Let V be a selfdual space of polynomials of even dimension $2N$. Let $FL^{\perp}(V)$ be the variety of the isotropic full flags of V, and $\beta(FL^{\perp}(V))$ its image under the generating morphism. Then $\beta(FL^{\perp}(V))$ is an so_{2N+1}-population with fundamental space V.*

Theorem 7.5 is proved in Section 8.

7.2. **Critical points of type C_N.** Consider the root system of type C_N. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}$ be the short simple roots and α_N the long one. We have

$$(\alpha_N, \alpha_N) \ = \ 4, \qquad\qquad (\alpha_{N-1}, \alpha_N) \ = \ -\,2,$$
$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \ = \ 2, \qquad (\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}) \ = \ -\,1, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N-2,$$

and all other scalar products are equal to zero. The root system C_N corresponds to the Lie algebra sp_{2N}. We denote $\mathfrak{h}$ its Cartan subalgebra.

We consider also the root system of type A_{2N} with simple roots $\alpha_1^A, \dots, \alpha_{2N}^A$. The root system A_{2N} corresponds to the Lie algebra sl_{2N+1}. We denote $\mathfrak{h}_A$ its Cartan subalgebra.

We have a map $\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathfrak{h}_A^*$, $\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda^A$, where Λ^A is defined by

$$\langle \Lambda^A \ , \ (\alpha_i^A)^\vee \rangle \ = \ \langle \Lambda^A \ , \ (\alpha_{2N+1-i}^A)^\vee \rangle \ = \ \langle \Lambda \ , \ (\alpha_i)^\vee \rangle, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Let $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be dominant integral sp_{2N}-weights, $z_1, \dots, z_n$ complex numbers. Let the polynomials $T_1, \dots, T_N$ be given by (3.2). Remind that an N-tuple of polynomials $\boldsymbol{y}$ represents a critical point of a master function associated with sp_{2N}, $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, $z_1, \dots, z_n$, if and only if $\boldsymbol{y}$ is generic with respect to weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ of sp_{2N} and points $z_1, \dots, z_n$, and there exist polynomials $\tilde{y}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, such that

$$W(y_i \ , \ \tilde{y}_i) \ = \ T_i \ y_{i-1} \ y_{i+1}, \qquad\qquad i \ = \ 1, \dots, N-2,$$
$$W(y_{N-1} \ , \ \tilde{y}_{N-1}) \ = \ T_{N-1} \ y_{N-2} \ y_N^2, \qquad\qquad W(y_N \ , \ \tilde{y}_N) \ = \ T_N \ y_{N-1}.$$

For an N-tuple of polynomials $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$, let $\boldsymbol{y}_A$ be the $2N$-tuple of polynomials

$$\boldsymbol{y}_A \ = \ (y_1, \dots, \ y_{N-1}, \ y_N^2, \ y_N^2, \ y_{N-1}, \ \dots, y_1).$$

Let $\boldsymbol{y}$ represent a critical point of a master function associated with sp_{2N}, $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$, $z_1, \dots, z_n$. We shall construct an sl_{2N+1}-population containing $\boldsymbol{y}_A$. Set

$$\boldsymbol{y}_{A,1} \ = \ (y_1, \ \dots, \ y_{N-1}, \ y_N\tilde{y}_N, \ y_N^2, \ y_{N-1}, \dots, \ y_1) \ ,$$
$$\boldsymbol{y}_{A,2} \ = \ (y_1, \ \dots, \ y_{N-1}, \ y_N\tilde{y}_N, \ y_N^2 + c(\tilde{y}_N)^2, \ y_{N-1}, \dots, \ y_1) \ ,$$

where c is a non-zero number.

Lemma 7.6. *For almost all c, the tuple $\boldsymbol{y}_{A,2}$ represents a critical point of a master function associated with sl_{2N+1}, $\Lambda_1^A, \dots, \Lambda_n^A$, $z_1, \dots, z_n$. The sl_{2N+1}-population, containing the critical point $\boldsymbol{y}_{A,2}$, does not depend on c and contains also the tuple $\boldsymbol{y}_A$.*

Proof. Since $\boldsymbol{y}$ is generic with respect to weights $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ of sp_{2N}, and points $z_1, \dots, z_n$, clearly $\boldsymbol{y}_{A,2}$ is generic with respect to weights $\Lambda_1^A, \dots, \Lambda_n^A$ of sl_{2N+1}, and points $z_1, \dots, z_n$ for almost all c. We have

$$W(y_N^2 \ , \ y_N\tilde{y}_N) \ = \ T_N \ y_{N-1} \ (y_N)^2, \qquad W((y_N)^2 \ , \ y_N^2 + c(\tilde{y}_N)^2) \ = \ 2 \ c \ T_N \ y_{N-1} \ \ y_N\tilde{y}_N.$$

The first equation shows that $\boldsymbol{y}_A$ is fertile with respect to sl_{2N+1}, $\Lambda_1^A, \dots, \Lambda_n^A$, $z_1, \dots, z_n$. The equations also show that with respect to sl_{2N+1}, $\Lambda_1^A, \dots, \Lambda_n^A$, $z_1, \dots, z_n$ the tuple $\boldsymbol{y}_{A,1}$ is fertile in all directions but the $N-1$-th. Now we show that $\boldsymbol{y}_{A,1}$ is fertile in direction $N-1$.

Let $y_{N-1}=\prod_i(x-t_i),\ y_N=\prod_i(x-u_i),\ \tilde{y}_N=\prod_i\,(x-v_i)$. The numbers $\{t_i\}$ form an sl_2 critical point with weight $y_{N-2}T_{N-1}y_N^2$. Therefore we have its critical point equations

$$\sum_j \frac{2}{t_i-u_j}\ +\ X\ =\ 0,$$

where X denotes terms which do not depend on y_N. Similarly, $\{t_i\}$ form an sl_2 critical point with weight $y_{N-2}T_{N-1}\tilde{y}_N^2$. Therefore we have its critical point equations

$$\sum_j \frac{2}{t_i-v_j}\ +\ X\ =\ 0$$

with the same X. Hence

$$\sum_j \frac{1}{t_i-u_j}\ +\ \sum_j \frac{1}{t_i-v_j}\ +\ X\ =\ 0.$$

This means that $\{t_i\}$ form an sl_2 critical point with weight $y_{N-2}T_{N-1}y_N\tilde{y}_N$. Thus $\boldsymbol{y}_{A,1}$ is fertile in direction $N-1$.

With respect to $sl_{2N+1},\ \Lambda_1^A,\dots,\Lambda_n^A,\ z_1,\dots,z_n$ the tuple $\boldsymbol{y}_{A,2}$ is fertile in all directions but the N-th and $N+2$-th. We show that $\boldsymbol{y}_{A,2}$ is fertile in these directions too.

We have

$$W(y_{N-1},\bar{y}_{N-1})\ =\ T_{N-1}\ y_{N-2}\ y_N^2\ ,\qquad W(y_{N-1},\tilde{y}_{N-1})\ =\ T_{N-1}\ y_{N-2}\ \tilde{y}_N^2$$

for suitable polynomials $\bar{y}_{N-1}$ and $\tilde{y}_{N-1}$. Adding the equations we get $W(y_{N-1}\ ,\ \bar{y}_{N-1}+c\tilde{y}_{N-1})\ =\ T_{N-1}\ y_{N-2}\ (y_N^2+c\tilde{y}_N^2)$ which means fertility in direction $N+2$. Similarly, we have $W(y_N^2+c\tilde{y}_N^2\ ,\ y_N\tilde{y}_N)\ =\ T_N\ y_{N-1}\ (y_N^2+c\tilde{y}_N^2)$ which means fertility in direction N. These reasons show that for all c the tuples $\boldsymbol{y}_A\ \boldsymbol{y}_{A,1},\boldsymbol{y}_{A,2}$ belong to the sl_{2N+1}-population originated at $\boldsymbol{y}_{A,2}$. □

Let $\boldsymbol{y}$ represent a critical point of a master function associated with $sp_{2N},\ \Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n$, $z_1,\dots,z_n$. Let P be the sp_{2N}-population originated at $\boldsymbol{y}$. Let P^A be the sl_{2N+1}-population, constructed in Lemma 7.6 and containing $\boldsymbol{y}_A$.

Theorem 7.7. *The fundamental space of P^A is selfdual.*

Proof. Denote V the fundamental space of P^A. Let $u_1,\dots,u_{2N+1}$ be a basis in V constructed using $\boldsymbol{y}_A$ as in Section 5.3. By Lemma 5.5 we have

$$W^\dagger(u_1,\dots,u_i)\ =\ W^\dagger(u_1,\dots,u_{2N-i}),\qquad i\ =\ 0,\dots,2N+1.$$

The theorem follows from Corollary 6.9. □

The fundamental space of P^A is called *the fundamental space* of the sp_{2N}-population P and denoted V_P. We have $\dim V_P=2N+1$.

Theorem 7.8. *The generating morphism $\beta : FL(V_P)\to \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^{2N}$ identifies the subvariety $FL^\perp(V_P)$ of flags in V_P, isotropic with respect to the symmetric form (,), and the sp_{2N}-population P.*

Proof. Follows from Lemmas 6.14, 6.15 with $c = 0$ and 6.16, cf. proof of Theorem 7.8. $\square$

The Lie algebra sp_{2N} is Langlands dual to the Lie algebra so_{2N+1}. According to the corollary, a population of critical points associated with sp_{2N} is isomorphic to the full flag variety of its Langlands dual so_{2N+1}.

Let P be an sp_{2N}-population associated with integral dominant weights $\Lambda_1, \dots \Lambda_n$ and points $z_1, \dots, z_n$. Let $\boldsymbol{y} \in P$. Introduce a linear differential operator $D(\boldsymbol{y})$ of order $2N+1$ by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} D(\boldsymbol{y}) \quad = \quad & (\partial - \ln'(\frac{T_1^2 \dots T_N^2}{y_1}))(\partial - \ln'(\frac{y_1 T_1^2 \dots T_N^2}{y_2 T_1})) \dots (\partial - \ln'(\frac{y_{N-2} T_1^2 \dots T_N^2}{y_{N-1} T_1 \dots T_{N-2}})) \\ & (\partial - \ln'(\frac{y_{N-1} T_1^2 \dots T_N^2}{y_N^2 T_1 \dots T_{N-1}}))(\partial - \ln'(T_1 \dots T_N))(\partial - \ln'(\frac{y_N^2 T_1 \dots T_{N-1}}{y_{N-1}})) \\ & (\partial - \ln'(\frac{y_{N-1} T_1 \dots T_{N-2}}{y_{N-2}})) \dots (\partial - \ln'(\frac{y_2 T_1}{y_1}))(\partial - \ln'(y_1)) \end{aligned}$$

where $T_1, \dots T_N$ are as above.

Corollary 7.9. *The operator $D(\boldsymbol{y})$ does not depend on the choice of $\boldsymbol{y}$ in P. Its kernel is the fundamental space V_P.*

The operator is called *associated with the population* and is denoted D_P.

According to Theorem 7.8 any sp_{2N}-population is isomorphic to the variety of isotropic flags of the fundamental space of the population. The converse is also true.

Theorem 7.10. *Let V be a selfdual space of polynomials of odd dimension $2N+1$. Let $FL^{\perp}(V)$ be the variety of the isotropic full flags of V, and $\beta(FL^{\perp}(V))$ its image under the generating morphism. Then $\beta(FL^{\perp}(V))$ is an sp_{2N}-population with fundamental space V.*

Theorem 7.10 is proved in Section 8.

7.3. The so_{2N+1}-populations and Bruhat cells.

Let P be an so_{2N+1}-population with fundamental space V_P. The space V_P is a selfdual space of dimension $2N$. The canonical bilinear form of V_P is skew-symmetric. Let $z_1, \dots, z_n, \infty$ be ramification points of V_P. Let $\Lambda_1^A, \dots, \Lambda_n^A, \tilde{\Lambda}_\infty^A$ be the corresponding integral dominant sl_{2N}-weights of V_P and $\Lambda_1^B, \dots, \Lambda_n^B, \tilde{\Lambda}_\infty^B$ the corresponding integral dominant so_{2N+1}-weights of P.

The generating morphism β identifies the variety of isotropic flags $FL^{\perp}(V_P)$ with the population P. The variety $FL^{\perp}(V_P)$ is isomorphic to the flag variety of the Lie group SP_{2N}. The root system of its Lie algebra sp_{2N} is of type C_N.

For any semisimple Lie group G with a Borel subgroup B, the flag variety G/B has a Bruhat cell decomposition $G/B = \sqcup_{w \in W} BwB/B$, see [H].

A Bruhat cell decomposition of the flag variety of SL_{2N} was described in Section 5.8 as a cell decomposition of the variety of full flags $FL(V_P)$ with respect to the flag $\mathcal{F}^\infty$. We have

$$FL(V_P) \ = \ \sqcup_{w \in S^{2N}} G_w^{\mathcal{F}^\infty} .$$

Now we describe a Bruhat cell decomposition of the flag variety of SP_{2N} as a decomposition of $FL^{\perp}(V_P)$.

Let $\iota : \mathfrak{h}^*_{sp_{2N}} \to \mathfrak{h}^*_{sl_{2N}}$ be the linear inclusion given by

$$\sqrt{2}\alpha_i^C \ \mapsto \ \alpha_i^A + \alpha_{2N-i}^A, \qquad \sqrt{2}\alpha_N^C \ \mapsto \ 2\alpha_N^A,$$

$i = 1, \dots, N-1$. We have $(\alpha_i^C, \alpha_j^C) = (\iota(\alpha_i^C), \iota(\alpha_j^C))$ and therefore ι is orthogonal. We have the following relation between simple reflections:

$$\iota(s_i^C \Lambda) \ = \ s_i^A s_{2N-i}^A \iota(\Lambda), \qquad \iota(s_N^C \Lambda) \ = \ s_N^A \iota(\Lambda),$$

$i = 1, \dots, N-1$, for any weight $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*_{sp_{2N}}$. Therefore the map from the sp_{2N} Weyl group to the sl_{2N} Weyl group given by

$$s_i^C \mapsto s_i^A s_{2N-i}^A, \qquad s_N \mapsto s_N,$$

$i = 1, \dots, N-1$, is a group homomorphism. The map identifies the sp_{2N} Weyl group with the group W of permutations $w \in S^{2N}$ with the property $w_i + w_{2N+1-i} = 2N+1$ for all i.

The group $W \subset S^{2N}$ is generated by the simple transposition $s_N^C = (N, N+1)$ and by the products of two simple transpositions $s_i^C = (i, i{+}1)(2N{-}i, 2N{-}i{+}1)$, $i = 1, \dots, N{-}1$.

For a permutation w, denote $FL^{\perp}(V_P) \cap G_w^{\mathcal{F}^\infty}$ by G_w^C. We have $G_w^C \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $w \in W$. Therefore we have a decomposition

$$FL^{\perp}(V_P) \ = \ \sqcup_{w \in W} \, G_w^C. \tag{7.1}$$

The variety $FL^{\perp}(V_P)$ is isomorphic to the SP_{2N} flag variety. Under this isomorphism decomposition (7.1) becomes the Bruhat cell decomposition. The Bruhat cells G_w^C are topological cells, see [H].

Consider the generating morphism $\beta : FL(V_P) \to \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^{2N-1}$. For a tuple $\boldsymbol{y} \in \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^{2N-1}$, denote $\boldsymbol{l}(\boldsymbol{y}) = (\deg y_1, \dots, \deg y_{2N-1})$. Two flags $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ belong to the same Bruhat cell in $FL(V_P)$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{l}(\beta(\mathcal{F}_1)) = \boldsymbol{l}(\beta(\mathcal{F}_2))$. Set as before $\boldsymbol{l}^w = \boldsymbol{l}(\beta(\mathcal{F}))$ for $\mathcal{F} \in G_w^{\mathcal{F}^\infty}$. For $w \in W$ we have $l_i^w = l_{2N-i}^w$ for all i.

The Weyl groups of Langlands dual Kac-Moody algebras are naturally identified. So the Weyl groups of sp_{2N} and so_{2N+1} are naturally identified. Therefore we can consider an element $w \in W$ as an element of the so_{2N+1} Weyl group as well, in particular w acts on so_{2N+1}-weights.

Lemma 7.11. *For $w \in W$, we have the equality of so_{2N+1}-weights:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} l_i^w \, \alpha_i^B \ = \ \sum_{s=1}^{n} \Lambda_s^B \ - \ w \cdot \tilde{\Lambda}_\infty^B.$$

Proof. Follows from Lemma 5.22. □

Let $\boldsymbol{y}$ represent a critical point of the master function $\Phi(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^B, w \cdot \tilde{\Lambda}_\infty^B)$ and let P be the so_{2N+1}-population originated at $\boldsymbol{y}$.

Corollary 7.12. *The closure in* $\mathbb{C}^0_{l_1^w}[x] \otimes \dots \mathbb{C}^0_{l_N^w}[x]$ *of* N*-tuples of polynomials in the* so_{2N+1}*-population* P *representing a critical point of the master function* $\Phi(\boldsymbol{t};\boldsymbol{z};\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^B, w\cdot\tilde\Lambda^B_\infty)$*, is isomorphic to the Bruhat cell* G^C_w *of the flag variety* $FL^\perp(V_P)$*.*

7.4. **The sp_{2N}-populations and Bruhat cells.** Let P be an sp_{2N}-population with fundamental space V_P. The space V_P is a selfdual space of dimension $2N+1$. The canonical bilinear form of V_P is symmetric. Let $z_1,\dots,z_n,\infty$ be ramification points of V_P. Let $\Lambda^A_1,\dots,\Lambda^A_n,\tilde\Lambda^A_\infty$ be the corresponding integral dominant sl_{2N+1}-weights and $\Lambda^C_1,\dots,\Lambda^C_n,\tilde\Lambda^C_\infty$ the corresponding integral dominant sp_{2N}-weights of P.

The generating morphism β identifies the variety of isotropic flags $FL^\perp(V_P)$ with the population P. The variety $FL^\perp(V_P)$ is isomorphic to the flag variety of the Lie group SO_{2N+1}. The root system of the corresponding Lie algebra so_{2N+1} is of type B_N.

Now we describe a Bruhat cell decomposition of the flag variety of SO_{2N+1} as a decomposition of $FL^\perp(V_P)$.

Let $\iota:\mathfrak{h}^*_{so_{2N+1}}\to\mathfrak{h}^*_{sl_{2N+1}}$ be the linear inclusion given by

$$\alpha^B_i \;\mapsto\; \alpha^A_i+\alpha^A_{2N-i}, \qquad \alpha^B_N \;\mapsto\; \alpha_N+\alpha_{N+1},$$

$i=1,\dots,N-1$. We have $(\alpha^B_i,\alpha^B_j) \;=\; (\iota(\alpha^B_i),\iota(\alpha^B_j))$ and therefore ι is orthogonal. We have the following relation between simple reflections:

$$\iota(s^B_i\Lambda) \;=\; s^A_i s^A_{2N-i}\iota(\Lambda), \qquad \iota(s^C_N\Lambda) \;=\; s^A_N s^A_{N+1} s^A_N\iota(\Lambda),$$

$i=1,\dots,N-1$, for any weight $\Lambda\in\mathfrak{h}^*_{so_{2N+1}}$. Therefore the map from the so_{2N+1} Weyl group to the sl_{2N+1} Weyl group given by

$$s^B_i \;\mapsto\; s^A_i s^A_{2N-i}, \qquad s_N \;\mapsto\; s_N s_{N+1} s_N,$$

$i=1,\dots,N-1$ is a group homomorphism. The map identifies the so_{2N+1} Weyl group with the group W of permutations $w\in S^{2N+1}$ with the property $w_i+w_{2N+2-i}=2N+2$ for all i.

The group $W\subset S^{2N+1}$ is generated by the simple transposition $s^B_N \;=\; (N,N+2)$ and by the products of two simple transpositions $s^B_i \;=\; (i,i+1)(2N-i,2N-i+1)$, $i=1,\dots,N-1$.

Let

$$FL(V_P) \;=\; \sqcup_{w\in S^{2N+1}}\, G^{\mathfrak{F}^\infty}_w$$

be the Bruhat cell decomposition with respect to the flag $\mathfrak{F}^\infty$. For a permutation w, denote $FL(V_P)^\perp\cap G^{\mathfrak{F}^\infty}_w$ by G^B_w. We have $G^B_w\neq\emptyset$ if and only if $w\in W$. Therefore we have a decomposition

$$FL^\perp(V_P) \;=\; \sqcup_{w\in W}\, G^B_w. \tag{7.2}$$

The variety $FL^\perp(V_P)$ is isomorphic to the SO_{2N+1} flag variety. Under this isomorphism decomposition (7.2) becomes the Bruhat cell decompostion.

Consider the generating morphism $\beta : FL(V_P)\to\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^{2N}$. For a tuple $\boldsymbol{y}\in\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}[x])^{2N}$ denote $\boldsymbol{l}(\boldsymbol{y})=(\deg y_1,\dots,\deg y_{2N})$. Two flags $\mathfrak{F}_1$ and $\mathfrak{F}_2$ belong to the same

Bruhat cell if and only if $\boldsymbol{l}(\beta(\mathcal{F}_1)) = \boldsymbol{l}(\beta(\mathcal{F}_2))$. Set as before $\boldsymbol{l}^w = \boldsymbol{l}(\beta(\mathcal{F}))$ for $\mathcal{F} \in G_w^{\mathcal{F}\infty}$. For $w \in W$ we have $l_i^w = l_{2N+1-i}^w$ for all i..

The Weyl groups of Langlands dual Kac-Moody algebras are naturally identified. So the Weyl groups of so_{2N+1} and sp_{2N} are naturally identified. Therefore we can consider an element $w \in W$ as an element of the sp_{2N} Weyl group as well, in particular w acts on sp_{2N}-weights.

Lemma 7.13. *For $w \in W$, we have the equality of sp_{2N}-weights:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} l_i^w \alpha_i^C \ = \ \sum_{s=1}^{n} \Lambda_s^C \ - \ w \cdot \tilde{\Lambda}_\infty^C .$$

Proof. Follows from Lemma 5.22. □

Let $\boldsymbol{y}$ represent a critical point of the master function $\Phi(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^C, w \cdot \tilde{\Lambda}_\infty^C)$ and let P be the sp_{2N}-population originated at $\boldsymbol{y}$.

Corollary 7.14. *The closure in $\mathbb{C}^0_{l_1^w}[x] \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{C}^0_{l_N^w}[x]$ of N-tuples of polynomials in the sp_{2N}-population P representing critical points of the master function $\Phi(\boldsymbol{t}; \boldsymbol{z}; \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^C, w \cdot \tilde{\Lambda}_\infty^C)$ is isomorphic to the Bruhat cell G_w^B of the flag variety $FL^\perp(V_P)$.*

8. Local Version

The proof of Theorems 7.5 and 7.10 require a local variant of the theory of populations where polynomials are replaced by germs of holomorphic functions. Below we sketch this local variant.

8.1. **Generic and fertile tuples of germs.** Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Denote $\mathbb{C}((x-z))$ the complex vector space of germs at z of holomorphic functions in x. Denote $\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}((x-z)))$ the corresponding projective space.

Let A be a generalized Cartan $r \times r$-matrix and $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ the corresponding Kac-Moody algebra. We use the same notations as in Section 2.1.

Fix an r-tuple $\boldsymbol{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_r) \in \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}((x-z)))^r$. We say that $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is *the weight of* $\boldsymbol{T}$ if for any i the number $\langle \Lambda, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle$ is equal to the order of zero at z of the germ T_i. The weight Λ is integral dominant.

For $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_r) \ \in \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}((x-z)))^r$, let l_i be the order of zero at z of y_i. The weight $\Lambda - \sum_{i=1}^r l_i \alpha_i$ is called *the weight of $\boldsymbol{y}$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{T}$*, Λ.

We say that an r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y} \in \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}((x-z)))^r$ is *generic* at z if $y_i(z) \neq 0$ for all i. The weight of a generic tuple with respect to $\boldsymbol{T}$, Λ is equal to Λ.

We say that an r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y} \in \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}((x-z)))^r$ is *fertile* with respect to $\boldsymbol{T}$ if for every i there exists a germ $\tilde{y}_i$ satisfying equation (3.4). If an r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}$ is generic, then it is fertile.

Let $\boldsymbol{y}$ be generic and $i = 1, \dots, r$. Lemma 3.4 shows that the r-tuples $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} = (y_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_i, \dots, y_r) \ \in \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}((x-z)))^r$, where $\tilde{y}_i$ is given by (3.5), form a one-parameter family.

The parameter space of the family is identified with the projective line $\boldsymbol{P}^1$ with projective coordinates $(c_1 : c_2)$. We have a map

$$Y_{\boldsymbol{y},i} \ : \ \boldsymbol{P}^1 \ \to \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}((x-z)))^r \ , \tag{8.1}$$

which sends a point $c = (c_1 : c_2)$ to the corresponding r-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}$. Almost all r-tuples $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}$ are generic. The exceptions form a finite set in $\boldsymbol{P}^1$.

8.2. **Reproduction procedure.** Let $\boldsymbol{T}$ be as in Section 8.1. Let $\boldsymbol{y}^0$ be generic. Let $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, i_r, \dots, i_k)$, $1 \le i_j \le r$, be a sequence of natural numbers. We define a k-parameter family of fertile r-tuples

$$Y_{\boldsymbol{y}^0,\boldsymbol{i}} \ : \ (\boldsymbol{P}^1)^k \ \to \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}((x-z)))^r$$

as in Section 3.4. Namely, we proceed by induction on k, starting at $\boldsymbol{y}^0$ and successively applying the simple reproduction procedure in directions $i_1, \dots, i_k$. The union

$$P_{\boldsymbol{y}^0} \ = \ \cup_{\boldsymbol{i}} \, P_{\boldsymbol{y}^0,\boldsymbol{i}} \ \subset \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}((x-z)))^r \ ,$$

where the summation is over all sequences $\boldsymbol{i}$, is called *the population of germs at z associated* with the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, the tuple $\boldsymbol{T}$ of weight Λ, and *originated* at y^0. We say that $\boldsymbol{T}$ is *the defining tuple* of the population P.

For a given $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$, almost all r-tuples $Y_{\boldsymbol{y}^0,\boldsymbol{i}}(\boldsymbol{c})$ are generic. Exceptional values of $c \in (\boldsymbol{P}^1)^k$ are contained in a proper algebraic subset.

If two populations of germs at z with the same $\boldsymbol{T}$ intersect, then they coincide.

As in Section 3.5 we conjecture that every population of germs at a point z, associated to a Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and a tuple $\boldsymbol{T}$ is a (pro-)algebraic variety isomorphic to the full flag variety associated to the Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}^t$ which is Langlands dual to $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, the parts of the family corresponding to r-tuples of germs with fixed degrees of zero at z are isomorphic to Bruhat cells of the flag variety.

8.3. **Orders of zero of germs in a population and the Weyl group.** Let a tuple $\boldsymbol{y}$ be a member of a population P associated to a tuple $\boldsymbol{T}$ of weight Λ. Let a tuple $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} = (y_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_i, \dots, y_r)$ be an immediate descendant of $\boldsymbol{y}$. Let $\Lambda^{(i)}$ be the weight of $\boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{T}, \Lambda$. If the order of zero at z of $\tilde{y}_i$ is not equal to the order of zero of y_i, then $\Lambda^{(i)}_\infty \ = \ s_i \cdot \Lambda_\infty$, cf. Lemma 3.11.

Let P be the population of germs associated with a tuple $\boldsymbol{T}$ of weight Λ and originated at a tuple $\boldsymbol{y}^0$. Then for any $\boldsymbol{y} \in P$, there is an element $w \in \mathcal{W}$, such that the weight of $\boldsymbol{y}$ is $w \cdot \Lambda$, and for any $w \in \mathcal{W}$, there is a tuple $\boldsymbol{y} \in P$ with weight $w \cdot \Lambda$.

8.4. **Relation between populations of polynomials and germs.** Let P be a population of critical points in the sense of Section 3.4. We assume that P is associated with integral dominant weights $\Lambda_1, \dots \Lambda_n$, complex numbers $z_1, \dots, z_n$, and originated at a critical point $\boldsymbol{y}^0$. Let $\boldsymbol{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_r)$ be the tuple of polynomials associated with P and defined by formulas (3.2).

Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then P induces a population of germs at z, denoted P_z. The population of germs P_z consists of germs at z of tuples $\boldsymbol{y} \in P$. The tuple $\boldsymbol{T}$, considered as an element of $\boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}((x-z)))^r$, is the defining tuple of P_z. The weight of $\boldsymbol{T}$ at z is Λ_i if $z = z_i$ and is zero otherwise.

In order to check that P_z is indeed a population of germs one needs to check that P_z contains a tuple of germs $\boldsymbol{y}$ such that $y_i(z) \neq 0$ for all i. To do this we consider the tuple of polynomials $\boldsymbol{y}^0$. The tuple is generic in the sense of Section 3.2. Thus if z is not a root of one of y_i, then the germ of $\boldsymbol{y}^0$ is the required tuple. If z is a root of one of y_i, then it is a simple root. It is easy to see that any immediate descendant of $\boldsymbol{y}^0$ in the i-th direction gives a required tuple.

8.5. **sl_{N+1}-Populations of germs.** Let P be an sl_{N+1}-population of germs at $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with defining tuple $\boldsymbol{T}$ of weight Λ. Let $\boldsymbol{y} \in P$. Introduce a germ of a linear differential operator $D(\boldsymbol{y})$ by formula (5.1). The germ $D(\boldsymbol{y})$ does not depend on the choice of $\boldsymbol{y}$. It is called associated to P and denoted D_P. The exponents of D_V are the numbers $0,\ (\Lambda+\rho,\alpha_1),\ \dots,\ (\Lambda+\rho,\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_N)$. The kernel V of D_V is called the fundamental space of P and denoted V_P.

Let V be a complex vector space of germs at $z \in \mathbb{C}$ of holomorphic functions in x, $\dim V = N+1$. Assume that V does not have a base point, i.e. there is $f \in V$ such that $f(z) \neq 0$. Let $D_V = \partial^{N+1} + \dots$ be the germ of a linear differential operator with kernel V. Let $0,\ m_1+1,\ \dots,\ m_1+\dots+m_N+N$ be the exponents at z of D_V. Here m_i are non-negative integers. Introduce an integral dominant sl_{N+1}-weight Λ by conditions $(\Lambda,\alpha_i) = m_i$.

Let $u_1,\dots,u_{N+1}$ be a basis in V. The germ $W_V = W(u_1,\dots,u_{N+1})$ is uniquely determined up to multiplication by a non-zero number. Fix a tuple $\boldsymbol{T} = (T_1,\dots,T_N) \in \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}((x-z)))^N$ so that

- The product $T_1^N T_2^{N-1} \dots T_N$ is equal to W_V up to multiplication by a nonzero number;
- For any i, the order of zero at z of T_i is m_i.

The sl_{N+1}-weight of $\boldsymbol{T}$ is Λ. Such a tuple $\boldsymbol{T}$ is called *a framing* of V.

Let $FL(V)$ be the variety of full flags in V. Define the generating morphism

$$\beta\ :\ FL(V)\ \to\ \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}((x-z)))^N, \qquad \mathfrak{F}\ \mapsto\ \boldsymbol{y}^{\mathfrak{F}},$$

by the same formulas as in Section 5.5. We have the following analog of Theorem 5.12.

Theorem 8.1.

1. *The generating morphirm defines an isomorphism of* $FL(V)$ *and its image* $\beta(FL(V)) \subset \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}((x-z)))^N$.
2. *The image* $\beta(FL(V))$ *is an* sl_{N+1}*-population of germs associated with* $\boldsymbol{T}$ *and* Λ.
3. *The initial vector space* V *is the fundamental space of the population* $\beta(FL(V))$.
4. *Every* sl_{N+1}*-population of germs is given by this construction starting from a suitable space* V.

8.6. **Selfdual vector spaces of germs.** Let V be an $N+1$-dimensional vector space of germs at $z \in \mathbb{C}$ of holomorphic functions. For $u_1, \dots, u_i \in V$ define $W^\dagger(u_1, \dots, u_i)$, the divided Wronskian with with respect to $V, \boldsymbol{T}$, by the same formulas as in Section 6.1. Define the vector space $V^\dagger$ as the span of divided Wronskians $W^\dagger(u_1, \dots, u_N)$ with $u_1, \dots u_N \in V$.

An N-tuple $\boldsymbol{y} \in \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}((x-z)))^N$ is called *symmetric* if for any i the germ y_i is equal to the germ y_{N+1-i} up to multiplication by a non-zero number.

The space V with a symmetric framing $\boldsymbol{T}$ is called *selfdual* if $V^\dagger = V$.

A selfdual space has a nondegenerate bilinear form defined as in Section 6.2. The form is skew-symmetric if the dimension of V is even and is symmetric if the dimension is odd.

We denote $FL^\perp(V) \subset FL(V)$ the subvariety of isotropic flags. Let β be the generating morphism of V. A flag $\mathcal{F}$ is isotropic if and only if the tuple $\beta(\mathcal{F}) = \boldsymbol{y}^{\mathcal{F}}$ is symmetric. The group of linear transformations of V preserving the bilinear form acts on $\beta(FL^\perp(V))$ be the same formulas as in Section 6.4.

8.7. **Populations of germs of type B_N.** We use notations of Section 7.1. Let P be an so_{2N+1}-population of germs associated with a tuple $\boldsymbol{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_N)$ of weight Λ and originated at a generic N-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}^0$.

For any N-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}$ denote the symmetric $2N-1$-tuple $(y_1, \dots, y_{N-1}, y_N, y_{N-1}, \dots, y_1)$ by $\boldsymbol{y}_A$. The $2N-1$-tuple $\boldsymbol{T}_A$ has the sl_{2N}-weight Λ^A.

Let P^A be the sl_{2N}-population of germs associated with the tuple $\boldsymbol{T}^A$ of sl_{2N}-weight Λ^A and originated at $\boldsymbol{y}^0_A$. There is an injective map $P \to P^A$, $\boldsymbol{y} \mapsto \boldsymbol{y}_A$. The fundamental space of P_A is selfdual with respect to the symmetric framing $\boldsymbol{T}_A$. The fundamental space of of P_A is called the fundamental space of P. The generating morphism $\beta : FL(V) \to \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}((x-z)))^N$ identifies the subvariety $FL^\perp(V)$ of flags in V, isotropic with respect to its skew-symmetric form, and the so_{2N+1}-population P.

Theorem 8.2. *Let V be a vector space of germs of dimension $2N$ with no base point. Assume that V is selfdual with respect to a symmetric framing $\boldsymbol{T}_A$. Let $FL^\perp(V)$ be the variety of isotropic full flags of V, and $\beta(FL^\perp(V))$ its image under the generating morphism. Then $\beta(FL^\perp(V))$ is an so_{2N+1}-population of germs with fundamental space V.*

To prove this theorem it is enough to show only that there is an isotropic flag $\mathcal{F}$ such that its image $y^{\mathcal{F}}$ is generic, i.e. $y_i^{\mathcal{F}}(z) \neq 0$ for all i. This is proved similarly to the proof of Theorem 6.4.

8.8. **Populations of germs of type C_N.** We use notations of Section 7.2. Let P be an sp_{2N}-population of germs associated with a tuple $\boldsymbol{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_N)$ of weight Λ and originated at a generic N-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}^0$.

Denote $\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}}$ the symmetric $2N$-tuple $(T_1, \dots, T_N, T_N, \dots, T_1)$. The tuple $\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}}$ has the sl_{2N+1}-weight Λ^A.

For any N-tuple $\boldsymbol{y}$ denote $\boldsymbol{y}_A$ the $2N$-tuple $(y_1, \dots, y_{N-1}, y_N^2, y_N^2, y_{N-1}, \dots, y_1)$.

Let P^A be the sl_{2N+1}-population of germs associated with the symmetric tuple $\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}}$ of sl_{2N+1}-weight Λ^A and originated at $\boldsymbol{y}^0_A$. There is an injective map $P \to P^A,\ \boldsymbol{y} \mapsto \boldsymbol{y}_A$. The fundamental space of P_A is selfdual with respect to the symmetric framing $\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}}$. The fundamental space of P_A is called the fundamental space of P. The generating morphism $\beta : FL(V) \to \boldsymbol{P}(\mathbb{C}((x-z)))^N$ identifies the subvariety $FL^{\perp}(V)$ of flags in V, isotropic with respect to its symmetric form, and the so_{2N+1}-population P.

Theorem 8.3. *Let V be a vector space of germs of dimension $2N+1$ with no base point. Assume that V is selfdual with respect to a symmetric framing $\tilde{\boldsymbol{T}}$. Let $FL^{\perp}(V)$ be the variety of isotropic full flags of V, and $\beta(FL^{\perp}(V))$ its image under the generating morphism. Then $\beta(FL^{\perp}(V))$ is an sp_{2N}-population of germs with fundamental space V.* □

8.9. **Proof of Theorems 7.5 and 7.10.** We prove Theorem 7.5. The proof of Theorem 7.10 is similar.

Let V be a vector space of polynomials of dimension $2N$ selfdual in the sence of Section 6.2. Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Denote π_z the map which sends a polynomial to its germ at z. Then $\pi_z(V)$ is a $2N$-dimensional vector of germs.

The symmetric polynomial framing $\boldsymbol{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_{2N-1})$, defined for V by formulas (6.1), induces a symmetric framing $\pi_z(\boldsymbol{T})$ of $\pi_z(V)$. The vector space $\pi_z(V)$ is selfdual with respect to the framing $\pi_z(\boldsymbol{T})$. The bilinear forms on V and $\pi_z(V)$ are functorial, for any $f, g \in V$ we have $(f,g) = (\pi_z(f), \pi_z(g))$. The map π_z identifies the varieties of isotropic flags $FL^{\perp}(V)$ and $FL^{\perp}(\pi_z(V))$.

The map π_z commutes with the generating morphism β.

According to Theorem 8.2 the image $\beta(FL(\pi_z(V)))$ is an so_{2N+1}-population of germs. In particular, $\beta(FL^{\perp}(\pi_z(V)))$ contains $2N-1$-tuples generic in the sense of Section 8.1. Our goal is to show that $\beta(FL^{\perp}(V))$ contains a tuple of polynomials generic in the sense of Section 3.2. We start with an arbitrary flag $\mathcal{F}^0 \in FL^{\perp}(V)$. We will deform it slightly so that the corresponding tuple will become generic. Let $\beta(\mathcal{F}^0) = \boldsymbol{y}^0$ and $\boldsymbol{y}^0 = (y^0_1, \dots, y^0_{N-1}, y^0_N, y^0_{N-1}, \dots, y^0_1)$. We may assume that the roots of polynomials y^0_i do not contain the ramification points $z_1, \dots, z_n$ of V. Indeed by Theorem 8.2 we know that for every z almost all flags in $FL^{\perp}(\pi_z(V))$ give generic tuples. The set of ramification points is finite. So almost all flags in $FL^{\perp}(V)$ give generic tuples of germs at the ramification points. Thus we may assume that if $z \in \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$, then the tuple of germs $\pi_z(\boldsymbol{y}^0)$ is generic.

Let $Z(\boldsymbol{y}^0) \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the finite set of all z such that $\pi_z(\boldsymbol{y}^0)$ is not generic. If $\boldsymbol{y}^0$ is slightly deformed in $\beta(FL^{\perp}(V))$, then $Z(\boldsymbol{y}^0)$ is slightly deformed in $\mathbb{C}$. In particular the slightly deformed $Z(\boldsymbol{y}^0)$ still will not intersect the set of ramification points of V. Our goal is to show that there is a small deformation $\boldsymbol{y}^1$ of $\boldsymbol{y}^0$ in $\beta(FL^{\perp}(V))$ such that for any $z \in Z(\boldsymbol{y}^1)$ exactly one of polynomials $y^1_1, \dots, y^1_N$ has a root at z and the multiplicity of that root is equal to one.

Assume that $\boldsymbol{y}^0$ is slightly deformed in the direction of one of the one-parameter groups indicated in Lemmas 6.12 and 6.13. Let $\boldsymbol{y}^1$ be the deformed tuple. If z belongs to $Z(\boldsymbol{y}^1)$

and z does not belong to $Z(\boldsymbol{y}^0)$, then exactly one of the polynomials $y_1^1, \dots, y_N^1$ has a root at z and the multiplicity of that root is one.

Thus our goal is to deform slightly the tuple $\boldsymbol{y}^0$ in the directions of the one-parameter subgroups of Lemmas 6.12 and 6.13, so that for the deformed tuple $\boldsymbol{y}^1$ the sets $Z(\boldsymbol{y}^0)$ and $Z(\boldsymbol{y}^1)$ do not intersect.

The possibility to do so is based on the following important observation. For any given z, a small generic deformation of $\boldsymbol{y}^0$ in the direction of one of the one-parameter subgroups of Lemmas 6.12 and 6.13 does not increase the order of zeros of germs of $\pi_z(\boldsymbol{y}^0)$.

Let z_0 be one of points in $Z(\boldsymbol{y}^0)$. By Theorem 8.2 we know that $\pi_{z_0}(\boldsymbol{y}^0)$ can be made generic using small deformations in the directions of the distinguished one-parameter subgroups. According to the above observation we will not make the germs $\pi_z(\boldsymbol{y}^0)$, $z \in Z(\boldsymbol{y}^0) - \{z_0\}$, worse while simplifying the germ at z_0.

Those remarks prove Theorem 7.5.

9. Appendix: the Wronskian identities

In this appendix we collect identities involving Wronskians. All functions in this section are functions of x with sufficiently many derivatives.

Recall that the Wronskian of functions $g_1, \dots, g_s$ is defined by

$$W(g_1, \dots, g_s) \;=\; \det(g_i^{(j-1)})_{i,j=1}^s \;.$$

We follow the convention that for $s = 0$ the corresponding Wronskian equals 1. In this section we write $W_s(g_1, \dots, g_s)$ instead of $W(g_1, \dots, g_s)$ to stress the order of the Wronskian.

We start with

Lemma 9.1. *We have* $W_{s+1}(1, g_1, \dots, g_s) \;=\; W_s(g_1', \dots, g_s')$.

Proof. Obvious. □

The next lemma is

Lemma 9.2. *We have* $W_s(fg_1, \dots, fg_s) \;=\; f^s\, W_s(g_1, \dots, g_s)$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on s. The case $s = 0$ is obvious. Suppose the lemma is proved for $s = s_0 - 1$. Compare the following differential equations on g_1

$$W_{s_0}(fg_1, \dots, fg_{s_0}) \;=\; 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad W_{s_0}(g_1, \dots, g_{s_0}) \;=\; 0 \;.$$

Both equations have solutions $g_2, \dots, g_{s_0}$ and therefore coincide up to multiplication by a function. Comparing the coefficients of $g_1^{(s_0-1)}$ we find that this function is f^{s_0} by the induction hypothesis. □

In what follows we use Lemmas 9.1 and 9.2 to prove new identities.

Lemma 9.3. *We have*

$$W_{s+1}(f^s, f^{s-1}g, f^{s-2}g^2, \dots, g^s) \;=\; (\prod_{i=1}^{s} i!)\; W_2(f,g)^{s(s+1)/2} \;.$$

Proof. Let $h = g/f$. We have

$$W_{s+1}(f^s, f^{s-1}g, f^{s-2}g^2, \dots, g^s) \;=\; f^{s(s+1)}\; W_{s+1}(1, h, h^2, \dots, h^s) \;.$$

This Wronskian is equal to the Wronskian of order s

$$f^{s(s+1)}\; W_s(h', 2hh', \dots, sh^{s-1}h') \;=\; s!\; (h')^s\; f^{s(s+1)}\; W_s(1, h, h^2, \dots, h^{s-1}) \;.$$

Continuing this reduction, we obtain

$$W_{s+1}(f^s, f^{s-1}g, f^{s-2}g^2, \dots, g^s) \;=\; (\prod_{i=1}^{s} i!)\; f^{s(s+1)}\; (h')^{s(s+1)/2} \;.$$

On the other hand

$$W_2(f,g)^{s(s+1)/2} \;=\; f^{s(s+1)}\; W(1,h)^{s(s+1)/2} \;=\; f^{s(s+1)}\; (h')^{s(s+1)/2} \;,$$

and the lemma follows. □

For the next two identities, we fix integers $0 \le k \le s$ and functions $g_1, \dots, g_{s+1}$. Let

$$V_{s-k+1}(i) \;=\; W_{s-k+1}(g_1, \dots, g_{s-k}, g_i).$$

Lemma 9.4. *We have*

$$W_{k+1}(V_{s-k+1}(s-k+1), \dots, V_{s-k+1}(s+1)) = (W_{s-k}(g_1, \dots, g_{s-k}))^k W_{s+1}(g_1, \dots, g_{s+1}).$$

Proof. This lemma is proved by induction on s. The case $k = s$ is trivial. Suppose that the lemma is proved for $s = k, \dots, s_0 - 1$.

Divide both sides of the identity for $s = s_0$ by $g_1^{(s_0-k+1)(k+1)}$ and use Lemma 9.2 to carry g_1 inside all Wronskians. Then one of the functions in each Wronskian is 1 and we can reduce the order by Lemma 9.1. Then the identity for $s = s_0$ follows from the induction hypothesis applied to $f_i = (g_{i+1}/g_1)'$, $i = 1, \dots, s_0$. □

Let

$$W_s(i) \;=\; W(g_1, \dots, \widehat{g_i}, \dots, g_{s+1})$$

be the Wronskian of all functions except g_i.

Lemma 9.5. *We have*

$$W_{k+1}(W_s(s+1), W_s(s), \dots, W_s(s-k+1)) = W_{s-k}(g_1, \dots, g_{s-k})(W_{s+1}(g_1, \dots, g_{s+1}))^k.$$

Proof. First we prove the case $s = k$ by induction on k. The case $k = 0$ is trivial. Suppose the case $k < k_0$ is proved. Divide both sides of our identity in the case $s = k = k_0$ by $g_1^{k_0(k_0+1)}$. By Lemmas 9.1 and 9.2 we are reduced to the identity

$$W_{k_0+1}(W^{h'}_{k_0-1}(k_0), \dots, W^{h'}_{k_0-1}(1), W_{k_0}(h_1, \dots, h_{k_0})) \;=\; (W(h'_1, \dots, h_{k'_0}))^{k_0} \;,$$

where $h_i = g_{i+1}/g_1$ and $W^{h'}_{k_0-1}(i) = W_{k_0-1}(h'_1, \dots, \widehat{h'_i}, \dots, h'_{k_0})$.

The left hand side of the last identity is a determinant of size $k_0 + 1$. Add to the last row the row number i with coefficient $(-1)^{k_0-i+1} h_{k_0-i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, k_0$. Then the last row becomes

$$(0, \dots, 0, W_{k_0}(h'_1, \dots, h'_{k_0}))$$

and the lemma for $k = k_0$ follows from the induction hypothesis applied to functions $f_1 = h'_1, \dots, f_{k_0} = h'_{k_0}$.

Now we continue by induction on s. Suppose that the lemma is proved for $s = k, \dots, s_0 - 1$. Divide both sides of the identity for $s = s_0$ by $g_1^{s_0(k+1)}$. Then the identity for $s = s_0$ follows from the induction hypothesis applied to $f_i = (g_{i+1}/g_1)'$, $i = 0, \dots, s_0 - 1$. $\square$

References

[EH] D. Eisenbud, J. Harris, *Limit Linear Series: Basic Theory*, Inventiones mathematicae, 85, 337-371.

[Fa] L. Faddeev, Lectures on the Quantum Inverse Scattering Method. In: Integrable Systems, ed. by X.-G. Song, Nankai Lectures Math. Phys., World Scientific, Teaneck, N.J., 1990, 23-70.

[FFR] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, and N. Reshetikhin, *Gaudin model, Bethe ansatz, and critical level*, CMP, 166 (1994), 27-62.

[Fr] E. Frenkel, *Affine Algebras, Langlands Duality and Bethe Ansatz*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Math. Physics, Paris 1995, International Press, 1995, 606-642, q-alg/9506003.

[Fu] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1984.

[G] M. Gaudin, Diagonalization d'une class hamiltoniens de spin. *Journ. de Physique* **37**, no. 10 (1976), 1087 - 1098.

[GH] Ph. Griffiths, J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, A Whiley-Interscience Publication, 1994.

[H] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.

[K] V. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[M] Y. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1979

[MV] E. Mukhin and A. Varchenko, Remarks on Critical Points of Phase Functions and Norms of Bethe Vectors. In: Arrangements - Tokyo 1998. *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics* **27** (2000), 239 - 246.

[RV] N. Reshetikhin, A. Varchenko, *Quasiclassical asymptotics of solutions to the KZ equations*, Geometry, topology & physics, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Geom. Topology, VI, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA (1995), 293-322.

[Sk1] E. Sklyanin, Separation of variables in the Gaudin model. *J. Soviet Math.* **47** (1989), 2473 - 2488.

[Sk2] E. Sklyanin, The functional Bethe ansatz. In: Integrable and Superintegrable Systems, ed. by B. Kupershmidt, World Scientific, Singapore, 1990, 8 - 33.

[S] G. Szego, *Orthogonal polynomials*, AMS, 3d edition, 1967.

[ScV] I.Scherbak and A. Varchenko, *Critical point of functions, sl_2 representations and Fuchsian differential equations with only univalued solutions*, math. QA/0112269, (2001) 1-25.

[SV] V. Schechtman, A. Varchenko, *Arrangements of hyperplanes and Lie algebra homology*, Invent. Math., **106** (1991), 139-194.

E.M.: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Indiana University - Purdue University Indianapolis, 402 North Blackford St, Indianapolis, IN 46202-3216, USA,
mukhin@math.iupui.edu

A.V.: Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3250, USA, anv@email.unc.edu